

Bylaws East Texas Regional Water Planning Group Regional Water Planning Area "I"

Adopted May 18, 1998 Amended October 14, 1998; June 1, 1999; December 14, 2000; January 15, 2002; March 12, 2003; June 20, 2007 November 5, 2008; December 9, 2009; December 8, 2010, February 13, 2013; July 17, 2019

ARTICLE I -		
Section 1		1
Section 2	Regional Water Planning Area	1
ARTICLE II	- ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE	1
ARTICLE III	- PRINCIPAL ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	1
ARTICLE IV	- RESPONSIBILITIES	2
ARTICLE V	- VOTING MEMBERSHIP	
Section 1		2
Section 2	Conditions of Membership	2
Section 3	Selection of Members	2 2 3 4
Section 4.	Attendance	3
Section 5	Code of Conduct	4
Section 6	Removal of Voting Members	4
ARTICLE VI	- NON-VOTING MEMBERSHIP5	
Section 1	Mandatory Members	5
Section 2		7
Section 3		7
ARTICLE VI	I - DESIGNATED ALTERNATES	8
ARTICLE VI	II - OFFICERS	
Section 1		8
Section 2	Selection	8
Section 3		<u> </u>
	Vacancies of Officers	9
Section 5	Duties of Each Officer	
Section 6	Executive Committee	
Section 7	Nominating Committee	11
ARTICLE IX	- MEETINGS	
	Regular Meetings	11
Section 3	Called (Special) Meetings	12
Section 5	Quorum	12
Section 6	Applicability of Robert's Rules of Order	12
Section 7	Public Hearings/Meetings Required By Law	12
Section 8	Minutes	13
Section 6	Windles	15
ARTICLE X	- MAKING DECISIONS	
Section 2	Final Adoption of Regional Water Plan; Amendments	13
Section 2	Thial Adoption of Regional Water Flan, Amendments	15
ARTICLE XI	- BOOKS AND RECORDS	
Section 1	Required Documents and Retention	14
Section 2	Inspection and Copying	14
	Availability of Reports	14
Section 3	Availability of Reports	14
	I COMMITTEES	
AKTIULE Å	<u>I - COMMITTEES</u>	

Section 1	Establishment	15
Section 2	Membership	15
Section 3	Officers	15
Section 4	Meetings	15
Section 5	Books and Records	15
Section 6	Code of Conduct	16
ARTICLE XII	- COMPENSATION	16
ARTICLE XIV	- COST ALLOCATION	16
ARTICLE XV	- CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	16
ARTICLE XV	I - ADOPTING AND AMENDING THE BYLAWS	16
ARTICLE XV	II - RESOLUTION ADOPTING BYLAWS	17

ARTICLE I NAME<mark>S</mark>

Section 1 Organization

The official name of the regional water planning area designated as Region I by the Texas Water Development Board (hereinafter TWDB) in accordance with 31 Texas Administrative Code (hereinafter TAC) Chapter 357 on February 19, 1998, shall be the East Texas Regional Water Planning Area (hereinafter ETRWPA).

The official name of this organization shall be the East Texas Regional Water Planning Group (hereinafter ETRWPG).

Section 2 Regional Water Planning Area

The official name of the regional water planning area designated as Region I by the Texas Water Development Board (hereinafter TWDB) in accordance with 31 Texas Administrative Code (hereinafter TAC) Chapter 357 on February 19, 1998, shall be the East Texas Regional Water Planning Area (hereinafter ETRWPA). The ETRWPG includes all or parts of Anderson, Angelina, Cherokee, Hardin, Henderson, Houston, Jasper, Jefferson, Nacogdoches, Newton, Orange, Panola, Polk, Rusk, Sabine, San Augustine, Shelby, Smith, Trinity, and Tyler counties.

ARTICLE II ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE

The ETRWPG was established by appointment of an initial coordinating body by the TWDB on February 19, 1998, and any subsequent additional appointments by the initial coordinating body. The purpose of the ETRWPG shall be to provide comprehensive regional water planning and to carry out the related responsibilities placed on regional water planning groups by state law, including Texas Water Code Chapter 16 and TWDB rules, including 31 TAC Chapters 355, 357, and 358, in and for the ETRWPA.

ARTICLE III PRINCIPAL ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

The principal administrative office of the ETRWPG shall be the principal business offices of the City of Nacogdoches. The administrative officer of the ETRWPG for purposes of the Texas Open Records Act shall be the City Manager of the City of Nacogdoches. The Chair of the ETRWPG shall <u>einsureensure</u> that the mailing address and physical address of the principal office and administrative officer are provided to all members of the ETRWPG and the Executive

Administrator of the TWDB. <u>The principal administrative office of the ETRWPG may be</u> changed by a majority vote of the voting members present at a duly posted meeting of the <u>ETRWPG</u>.

ARTICLE IV RESPONSIBILITIES

The ETRWPG shall have the responsibility for performing the functions defined in <u>Title 31, Part</u> <u>10, Chapter 357 of the Texas Administrative CodeTexas Water Code, Chapter 16 and in 31 TAC</u> <u>Chapters 355, 357, and 358-</u>related to regional water planning groups for the ETRWPA. Foremost among those responsibilities shall be the development of a regional water plan for the ETRWPA that identifies both short and long-term water supply needs and recommends water management strategies for addressing them.

ARTICLE V VOTING MEMBERSHIP

Section 1 Composition

The voting members of the ETRWPG shall represent the interests comprising the ETRWPA stated in Texas Water Codethe original enabling legislation 16.053(c), if present, and other interests determined by the ETRWPG. plus any additional voting members duly appointed by the ETRWPG to ensure adequate representation of the interests comprising the planning area. The ETRWPG shall include persons added or removed as provided under this Article and any 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member selected for voting membership under Article VI.

Section 2 Conditions of Membership

In order to be eligible for voting membership on the ETRWPG, a person must represent the interest for which a member is sought, be willing to participate in the regional water planning process, to abide by these bylaws and, be a resident of the <u>ETRWPA East Texas Regional Water</u> Planning Area for six months prior to becoming a voting member, and abide by these bylaws. Voting members (and alternates as provided for herein?) must complete the Open Meetings Act training required by Texas Government Code §551.005 and the Public Information Act training required by Texas Government Code §552.012. Members who have previously completed this training as part of their outside employment or board service with other public entities do not need tonot complete it again as ETRWPG members.

Section 3 Selection of Members

East Texas Regional Water Planning Group voting membership shall, at all times, be represented

by at least one member from each of the eleven "interest groups" identified by the enabling legislation.

When filling a vacancy on the ETRWPG, the Nominating Committee shall cause, by direct mail, the notification of the County Judge of each county located in whole or in part in the ETRWPA soliciting nominations for a successor. Notification shall include the particular interest group for which nominations are sought, the conditions of membership and establish a deadline for the submission of nominations. Members of the ETRWPG may also submit nominations in the manner prescribed in the public notice.

The Nominating Committee shall receive and process the nominations and shall recommend a nominee to the voting membership of the ETRWPG at its next regular meeting. The Nominating Committee shall not be bound by the nominations received and may consider any person who meets the conditions of membership as a nominee. The voting membership as a whole shall not be bound by the recommendation of the Nominating Committee and may consider any person who meets the conditions of membership as a nominee.

An affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting membership (voting membership being defined as one-hundred percent of the eligible voting members, less any vacant memberships) of the ETRWPG shall be required to appoint <u>(elect?)</u> a nominee as a new voting member. If <u>a nominee</u> <u>fails to receive sufficient votes to secure election</u>, <u>voting fails to select a new voting member</u>, the voting members shall consider other nominations until a new member can be selected by affirmative votes of two-thirds of the voting membership.

To select new voting members to fill vacancies caused by death or removal by death or removal by resignation (as defined in Section 7 of this Article), the voting members may select new members to fill the unexpired term by an affirmative vote of two thirds of the voting membership (as hereinabove defined). The members selected by this process shall, whenever possible, be selected from the interest/entity affected by the vacancy. In this event, the ninety and forty-five day posting requirements shall not apply.

The voting members may add members to ensure adequate representation of the interests comprising the ETRWPA by generally utilizing the selection process set forth in this section. In both the consideration of nominees and the selection of new voting members, the Nominations Committee and other voting members shall strive to achieve geographic, ethnic, and gender diversity.

Outgoing voting members shall be given the opportunity to fully participate in the selection process for their successors and shall serve until their successors take office. No voting member shall be permitted to vote in the event he or she is a proposed nominee for election as a voting member.

Section 4. Attendance

All members shall make a good faith effort to attend all ETRWPG meetings and hearings.

Records of attendance shall be kept by the Secretary at all ETRWPG meetings and hearings and presented as part of the minutes. Voting members of the ETRWPG that have recorded absences from three consecutive meetings and/or hearings, or at least one-half of the sum of all meetings and hearings in the preceding twelve-tweny-four months, shall be considered to have engaged in excessive absenteeism and shall be subject to removal from membership under Section 7 of this Article.

The Chair shall excuse an absence if it is made known to the Chair prior to the beginning of the meeting or hearing that the absence is related to one of the following with supporting documentation made available to the Chair: personal illness, family emergency, or jury or military duty. An excused absence will not be recorded as an absence. Representation by a designated alternate or proxy does not excuse a member's absence. The members, by majority vote, may excuse a member's absence for good cause.

Section 5 Code of Conduct

Members and designated alternates of the ETRWPG shall ethically conduct the business of the ETRWPG and shall avoid any form or appearance of a conflict of interest, real or apparent, by observing the following:

- 1. No member or designated alternate of the ETRWPG shall:
 - a. Solicit or accept gratuities, favors, or anything of monetary value from suppliers, contractors, or sub-contractors who provide services, materials, or equipment, to ETRWPG; or
 - b. Participate in the selection, award, or administration of a procurement where the member or designated alternate has a financial or other substantive interest in the organization being considered for award. Such conflict may be due to any of the following having a financial or familial relationship with the organization:
 - i. the member or designated alternate;
 - ii. the member's or designated alternate's family;
 - iii. the member's or designated alternate's business partner(s); or
 - iv. a person or organization that employs, or is about to employ, any of the persons listed in (i)-(iii), above.
 - c. Participate in any deliberation, decision, or vote that would constitute a conflict of interest under state law.
- 2. Potential conflicts of interest shall be clearly stated by the voting member or designated alternate prior to any deliberation or action on an agenda item with which the voting member or designated alternate may be in conflict. Where the potential conflict is restricted to a divisible portion of an agenda item, the Chair may divide the agenda item into parts, at the Chair's discretion, for deliberation and voting purposes. An abstention from participation in deliberations, decisions, or voting and the reasons therefor shall be noted in the minutes.

Section 6 Removal of Voting Members

Grounds for Removal of Voting Members

The following shall constitute grounds for removal of a voting member:

- 1. engaging in excessive absenteeism as defined under Section 5 of this Article;
- 2. death;
- 3. resignation;
- 4. failure to abide by the code of conduct provisions set forth under Section 6 of this Articleherein;
- 5. appointment of a successor by the voting members upon expiration of the member's term;
- 6. the member no longer represents the interest he or she was selected to represent;
- 7. falsifying documents;
- 8. any other violation of these bylaws as may be determined by the voting members; or
- 9. the voting member's designated alternate engages in any acts described in subdivisions (4), (7), or (8) of this subsection.

Process for Removing Voting Members

Voting members may be removed at any time for any of the grounds for removal of voting members set forth in subsection (a) of this section<u>herein</u>. Any member with knowledge or suspicion that a voting member or designated alternate has engaged in acts or that events have occurred constituting a ground for removal under subsection (a) of this section shall report such information or suspicion to the Chair.

The Chair, upon discovering or receiving such information, shall make a written request to that member for an explanation as to why he or she should not be removed from voting membership. The member shall make written response to the Chair within fifteen calendar days from the date of receipt of the Chair's request. Within five calendar days of receipt of the member's response, the Chair shall forward copies of the response to the voting members.

If the Chair continues to suspect that a ground grounds for removal may exist, if the member fails to make a timely response to the Chair's request, or if a voting member requests its inclusion on the agenda after reviewing the written response from the accused member, the Chair shall place an item on the next subsequent meeting agenda calling for the removal of the member. At the meeting, the member subject to the possible removal action may present evidence of why he or she should not be removed. The voting members may remove the member by the not less than affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting membership. The member subject to the removal action shall not participate in any way in the removal decision, nor shall his or her membership count as a part of the eligible voting membership for purposes of calculating a two-thirds vote.

ARTICLE VI NON-VOTING MEMBERSHIP

Section 1 Mandatory Members

a) The voting members of the ETRWPG shall add the non-voting members set forth in 31 TAC 357.4(g)(1)-(g)(3) and accept the designees appointed by the entities set forth therein. Such designees shall have no terms of office and shall serve until replaced by the designating entity. However, if the voting members decide by not less than affirmative votes of two-thirds of the voting membership, that a particular designee is hindering the regional water planning efforts of the ETRWPG, the Chair shall make a written request within ten calendar days to the designating entity requesting the designation of another person to serve as the entity's designee.

(b) The voting members of the ETRWPG shall add at least one non-voting member as set forth in 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4). Within thirty calendar days of adoption of these bylaws, or within forty-five calendar days of the removal of a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member, the ETRWPG shall post public notice in a newspaper of general circulation in each county located in whole or in part in the ETRWPA, soliciting nominations for persons to serve as a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member, stating the conditions of membership, delineating the method for submitting nominations, and establishing a deadline for submission of nominations of no later that twenty-one calendar days from the date notice was posted. Members of the ETRWPG may also submit nominations in the manner prescribed in the public notice.

The Executive Committee shall receive and process the nominations and, after the deadline for submitting nominations, shall recommend a nominee to the voting membership as a whole. The Executive Committee shall not be bound by the nominations received and may consider any person who meets the conditions of membership as a nominee. The voting membership as a whole shall not be bound by the recommendation of the Executive Committee and may consider any person who meets the conditions of membership as a nominee.

The voting members shall make a decision for a new member or successor by affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the voting membership. If efforts fail, the Chair shall call for a vote on a nominee. An affirmative vote of two-thirds of the total voting membership of the ETRWPG shall be required to appoint a nominee as a non-voting 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member. If voting fails to select a member, the voting members shall consider other nominations until a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member can be selected by affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting membership.

Once selected, a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) non-voting member shall have no term of office and shall serve until removed by an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting membership.

(c) The voting members may at any time, including the time of initial selection of a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member, convert the membership of a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) non-voting member into a voting membership by a two-thirds vote of the voting membership. If selected to be a voting member, a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member shall have the rights, duties, terms, conditions, and other privileges and obligations of regular voting members. The voting members, excluding the 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member subject to the possible membership conversion, shall determine the exact applicability of the membership term provisions and restrictions to the 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member at the time of such conversion by affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting

membership. A newly added voting member shall be added to the Class of voting members with the least number of members or if the Classes are equal then they will be added to the Class with the longest remaining current term.

(d) The voting members, excluding the 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member subject to the possible membership conversion, may at any time convert the membership of a 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) voting member into a non-voting membership by affirmative vote of two-thirds of the voting membership, not including the voting membership of the 31 TAC 357.4(g)(4) member subject to the possible membership conversion.

Section 2 Discretionary Members

The voting members of the ETRWPG may add or remove as a non-voting member an entity set forth in 31 TAC 357.4(h) by two-thirds vote of the voting membership. If an entity is added, the Nominating Committee shall evaluate the need for adding additional Non-Voting Membership and shall report their recommendation to the ETRWPG as a whole. If an entity is added, the Chair shall make a written request within ten calendar days to the entity requesting the designation of a person to serve as the entity's designee. Such designees shall have no terms of office and shall serve until replaced by the designating entity or until the entity is removed as a non-voting member. However, if the voting members determine by a two-thirds vote of the voting membership that a particular designee is hindering the regional water planning efforts of the ETRWPG and that the entity should remain as a non-voting member, the Chair shall make a written request within ten calendar days to the entity requesting the designation of another person to serve as the entity's designee. The ETRWPG, for the purpose of insuring region-wide representation, shall recognize as a non-voting member, the nominee of the Commissioners' Court of a County, provided that said County does not otherwise have a voting member of the ETRWPG. Further, in the event a County having only a non-voting member has a resident of said County elected as a voting member of the ETRWPG, then, upon such event, the non-voting member designated by the Commissioners' Court of said County shall be deemed to have been removed.

Section 3 Code of Conduct

All non-voting members shall comply with the code of conduct provisions under Section 6 of Article V of these bylaws.

ARTICLE VII DESIGNATED ALTERNATES

Each member may designate an alternate to represent them when the member is unable to attend a meeting. The alternate must represent the interest group with which the member is affiliated and must reside or work within the boundaries of the ETRWPG. The alternate shall be designated in

writing to both the <u>ChairmanChair</u> and the administrative officer at least 48 hours prior to the meeting at which the designated alternate will represent the member. The <u>ChairmanChair</u> shall not recognize the designation of more than one (1) alternate per member at any given meeting nor recognize more than two (2) alternate designations per member per calendar year. The designated alternate shall have the same voting privileges and duties as the member except that an alternate may not serve in the role of an officer or chair a committee.

ARTICLE VIII OFFICERS

Section 1 Officers, Restrictions, and Terms of Office

Voting members of the ETRWPG shall select from the voting membership a <u>ChairmanChair</u>, <u>First Vice Chair</u>, <u>Second Vice Chair</u>, <u>and</u> Secretary <u>and Assistant Secretary</u> to serve as officers. Each officer shall serve a term <u>of one calendar yearof five years that aligns with the five-year</u> <u>planning cycle</u>. Except as provided under Section 4 of this Article, an officer shall serve until his or her successor takes office. Elections shall be held <u>annually</u>,<u>at the first meeting of a new fiveyear planning cycle</u>, with no restrictions on the number of consecutive terms an individual may serve as an officer other than those that apply because of his or her status as a voting member under these bylaws. When an officer is absent or otherwise unable to serve, the next highest ranking officer shall serve for the officer. If no lower ranking officer exists or can serve, then a member designated by the Chair or acting Chair shall serve for the officer. A designated alternate of a member serving as an officer, member of the Executive Committee or committee chair shall not serve in the member's capacity as an officer in lieu of the member.

Section 2 Selection

Officers shall be selected at the first meeting of each <u>calendar yearnew five-year planning cycle</u>. Written notice of the meeting to select officers shall be mailed to all members of the ETRWPG by the current Secretary thirty calendar days prior to the meeting.

The Nominating Committee shall recommend a slate of <u>o</u>Officers and <u>two a</u>At-<u>l</u>Large <u>m</u>Members <u>who shall constitute the Executive Committee (as further described in Section 6 below)</u>. Nominations may also be made from the floor by voting members. The voting members shall select officers from among the nominees by majority vote of the voting members present.

Section 3 Removal of Officers

Any officer may be removed from office for any of the grounds for removal of voting members set forth under Article V of these bylaws, or for repeated failure to carry out the duties of the office, by a majority vote of the voting members present. Removal of an officer shall be set as an agenda item at the next scheduled meeting upon written request signed by one-third of the voting

membership to the Chair or Secretary. The Chair or Secretary receiving the request shall notify the officer in writing that he or she shall be subject to a removal action at the next scheduled meeting. At the meeting, the officer subject to the possible removal action may present evidence of why he or she should not be removed. If the Chair is the subject of the possible removal action, the Vice-Chair shall preside over the meeting during the agenda item concerning the Chair's removal. The officer subject to the removal action shall not participate in any way in the removal decision, nor shall his or her membership count as part of the voting members present for purposes of calculating a majority vote. The notice of the meeting shall be posted in accordance with the Open Meetings Act and shall state that the issue of possibly removing the officer will be on the agenda. Any vacancy caused by the removal shall be filled as provided under Section 4 of this Article.

Section 4 Vacancies of Officers

Whenever an officer vacancy exists because of death, resignation, or removal, the vacancy shall be filled as soon as reasonably possible. The Nominating Committee shall recommend a replacement to serve the remainder of the term of any <u>member of vacant position on</u> the Executive <u>Committee vacates their position</u>. Nominations <u>may</u> also <u>may</u> be made from the floor by voting members. The voting members shall select a replacement officer from among the nominees by majority vote of the voting members present. The next highest ranking officer shall serve in the vacant position until a successor takes office. The person selected to fill a vacancy for an officer shall serve for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor in office.

Section 5 Duties of Each Officer

a) Chair. The Chair shall be the executive officer of the ETRWPG. The Chair will preside at all meetings of the ETRWPG and perform all duties **provided** <u>delineated</u> by these bylaws. If the Chair is unable to carry out his/her duties, the **First** Vice Chair shall assume the duties of the Chair.

(b) First-Vice Chair. The First-Vice Chair shall assist the Chair in the discharge of his/her duties and, in the absence of the Chair, shall assume the Chair's full responsibilities and duties. In the event the Chair is unable to carry out his/her duties, the First-Vice Chair shall serve as Chair until the ETRWPG elects a new Chair under Section 4 of this Article. The First-Vice-Chair shall perform other duties as assigned by the Chair, or these bylaws.

(c) Second Vice Chair. The Second Vice Chair shall assist the Chair and First Vice Chair in the discharge of their duties and, in the absence of the Chair and First Vice Chair, shall assume the full responsibilities and duties. In the event the Chair or First Vice Chair is unable to carry out his or her duties, then the Second Vice Chair shall serve as Chair or First Vice Chair, as the need arises, until ETRWPG elects a new Chair or First Vice Chair under Section IV of this Article, as needed. The Second Vice Chair shall perform other duties assigned by the Chair or by these By-Laws.

(d) Secretary. The Secretary shall maintain the minutes and take attendance of the ETRWPG meetings. The minutes and attendance shall be kept as part of the ETRWPG official records. The Secretary shall <u>insureensure</u> that all notices are properly posted as provided in the bylaws, as required by law, and as required by the Texas Open Meetings Act. The Secretary shall perform other duties as assigned by the Chair or these bylaws. If the both the Chair and Vice Chairs are unable to carry out the duties of the Chair, the Secretary shall assume the duties of the Chair.

(e) Assistant Secretary. The Assistant Secretary shall maintain the minutes and take attendance of the ETRWPG meetings in the absence of the Secretary. The minutes and attendance shall be kept as part of the ETRWPG official records. The Assistant Secretary, in the absence of the Secretary, shall insureensure that all notices are properly posted as provided in the bylaws, as required by law, and as required by the Texas Open Meetings Act. The Assistant Secretary shall perform other duties as assigned by the Chair or these bylaws. If the Chair, Vice Chairs, and Secretary are unable to carry out the duties of the Chair, the Assistant Secretary shall assume the duties of the Chair.

Section 6 Executive Committee

The Executive Committee shall be composed of <u>seven-five</u> ETRWPG members, including the Chair, <u>First-Vice Chair, Second Vice Chair</u>, Secretary, <u>Assistant Secretary</u> and two members-atlarge. The two <u>members-at-large members</u> shall be selected <u>annually</u> in the same manner and with the same terms as set forth for the selection of officers under this Article. Members-at-large shall be removed and their vacancies filled in the manner prescribed for officers under this Article. Members selected to be the <u>"aAt-lLarge"</u> members of the Executive Committee shall be from interest groups different from any of the officers of the group; and, each <u>aAt-lLarge</u> member shall likewise come from a different interest group.

The Executive Committee shall be responsible for carrying out the duties imposed on it in these bylaws. The voting members of the ETRWPG may delegate any administrative decisions to the Executive Committee unless provided otherwise in these bylaws.

All meetings of the Executive Committee shall comply with the provisions related to meetings generally as set forth in Article IX of these bylaws.

Section 7 Nominating Committee

The Executive Committee shall appoint a Nominating Committee consisting of five voting members who are not officers of the ETRWPG Executive Committee, except that - Additionally, the current Chair of the ETRWPG shall serve as an ex-officio member of the Nominating Committee. The Executive Committee shall strive for balanced geographic balance in making the appointments. The Nominating Committee shall present recommendations to the ETRWPG prior to the election of Officers and At-Large Members for the Executive Committee, replacement and/or addition of Voting members, and replacement and/or addition of Non-Voting

Membership.

ARTICLE IX MEETINGS

Section 1 Open Meetings and Notice

All meetings of the ETRWPG shall be posted and open to the public in the manner of a governmental body under the Texas Open Meetings Act and as set forth in TWDB rules. All actions of the ETRWPG shall be deliberated and undertaken in open meeting, unless otherwise authorized by the Texas Open Meetings Act. The time and place of meetings shall be set to facilitate, to the greatest extent possible, the participation of the public in the regional water planning process. Copies of all materials presented or discussed shall be made available for public inspection prior to and following any meeting of the ETRWPG.

Section 2 Regular Meetings

While the regular meeting schedule of the ETRWPG is quarterly, the membership shall meet no less than twice each calendar year. At the first meeting of each calendar year, the ETRWPG shall establish and adopt a regular meeting schedule for that year. The Secretary shall ensure that an advance notice and an agenda for regular meetings will be provided to the full membership of the ETRWPG at least ten calendar days in advance by first class U.S. Mail, facsimile, or electronic mail. Supporting information and member-requested materials shall be distributed to the full membership with the notice and agenda or at the meeting, as deemed appropriate by the Chair.

Section 3 Called (Special) Meetings

The Chair or a majority of the voting membership of the ETRWPG may call special meetings of the ETRWPG. The Secretary shall *insureensure* that advance notice and an agenda for the called meeting is provided to the full membership of the ETRWPG at least five calendar days in advance by first class U.S. Mail, facsimile, or electronic mail. Supporting information and member-requested materials shall be distributed to the full membership with the notice and agenda or at the meeting, as deemed appropriate by the Chair.

Section 4 Agenda

The Secretary of the ETRWPG shall <u>insure ensure</u> that an agenda is prepared and distributed for all meetings, in accordance with Sections 2 and 3 of this Article. Items shall be placed on the

agenda by the request of the Chair or by the request of at least three voting members of the ETRWPG. Consideration for approval of the previous meeting's minutes, as applicable, shall always be among the first items on the agenda. Copies of the agenda and all supporting information shall be made available for public inspection prior to and following any meeting of the ETRWPG.

Section 5 Quorum

A quorum of the ETRWPG shall be a simple majority of the voting membership, or their designated alternates, excluding vacancies. At least a quorum shall be necessary to conduct any business of the ETRWPG.

Section 6 Applicability of Robert's Rules of Order

Except as otherwise provided in these bylaws, meetings of the ETRWPG shall be conducted under the provisions of the most current edition of *Robert's Rules of Order*. However, failure to follow such rules shall not constitute grounds for appeal of an action or a decision of the ETRWPG.

Section 7 Public Hearings/Meetings Required By Law

The ETRWPG shall post notice and conduct public hearings and public meetings that are specifically required by statute and/or TWDB rule, including those set forth for preplanning, draft regional water plan presentation, adoption of amendments to the regional water plan, and final regional water plan adoption, in accordance with the requirements of the relevant state law and/or TWDB rules. Notification requirements may be different than those specified in Section 1 of this Article and are specifically delineated in Texas Water Code \Box 16.053 and/or 31 TAC 357.12.

Section 8 Minutes

(a) The Secretary shall insureensure that minutes of all meetings of the ETRWPG are prepared. The minutes shall:

- (1) state the subject of each deliberation;
- (2) indicate each vote, order, decision, or other action taken;

(3) indicate those members in attendance, noting the presence of a quorum, and noting the presence of those members of the public who participate in the course of the meeting;

(4) represent an accurate summary of the meeting's record; and

state any other information required by these bylaws to be included in the minutes.

(b) The Secretary shall <u>insureensure</u> that true copies of the minutes are provided to the full membership as soon as possible following the meeting, but no later than ten calendar days prior to the next regular meeting of the ETRWPG.

ARTICLE X MAKING DECISIONS

Section 1 Applicability; No Written Proxies

a) Unless the method for making a particular decision is set forth in these bylaws, the ETRWPG, its committees, and subgroups shall make all decisions utilizing the process set forth in Section 2 of this Article.

Section 2 Final Adoption of Regional Water Plan; Amendments

The voting members of the ETRWPG shall finally adopt the regional water plan for the ETRWPA, and any amendments thereto by two-thirds majority vote of the voting membership.

ARTICLE XI BOOKS AND RECORDS

Section 1 Required Documents and Retention

Records of the ETRWPG, including: a current membership list with addresses, affiliations, and phone numbers, if not unlisted; the current roster of officers; a copy of the written record of designation of the political subdivision(s) as representative(s) of the ETRWPG; minutes; agendas; notices; contracts, subcontracts, annual financial statements, and any and all financial records and supporting information; bylaws; records of public hearing; correspondence; memoranda; phone logs; committee or subgroup recommendations or findings; draft and final plans; studies; data of any sort; computer records or models; executive summaries; other work products; and any other pertinent information of a public nature shall be kept at the principal office of the ETRWPG for a period of at least five years.

Section 2 Inspection and Copying

Records of the ETRWPG shall be available for inspection and copying at the principal place of business of the representative political subdivision during normal business hours. Procedures and fees for copying and inspection shall be the same as those used by the political subdivision housing the principal office of the ETRWPG for inspection and copying of its own public records.

Section 3 Availability of Reports

All reports, planning documents, and work products resulting from projects funded by the

TWDB shall be made available to the TWDB, the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality or their successor agencies. At least one copy of the approved regional water plan shall be placed in the county clerk's office for each county and in at least one public library of each county having land within the ETRWPA, in accordance with state law.

ARTICLE XII COMMITTEES

Section 1 Establishment

The ETRWPG may by motion establish committees and subgroups to assist and advise the ETRWPG in the development of the regional water management plan. The committee or subgroup may be formed to address specific issues assigned by the ETRWPG and may have a specified term of membership. Committees shall have no authority to supervise or control public business or public policy, except as explicitly authorized to do so for a specific purpose by motion of the ETRWPG. When so authorized, the committee meeting shall be subject to the provisions of the Open Meetings Act, and the quorum shall be determined based on a quorum of the committee, not a quorum of the ETRWPG as a whole.

Section 2 Membership

Membership in the committees and subgroups shall generally follow the requirements and procedures of Article V of these bylaws; membership of the committees and subgroups should be inclusive, rather than exclusive in nature; the interests identified in the initial coordinating body will be invited to participate, as well as other interests that have been identified. Appointment to committees or subgroups shall be made by majority vote of the voting members present. The terms of office for all members of committees and subgroups shall be either upon the expiration of the term, if any, specified by the ETRWPG in the establishing motion for the committee or subgroup, or upon the expiration of the persons membership in the ETRWPG.

Section 3 Officers

The Chair, Vice-chair, and Secretary of a committee or subgroup established by the ETRWPG shall be selected from the duly-elected members of the respective committee or subgroup. The Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary of the committee or subgroup established by the ETRWPG shall be elected to their respective offices by a majority affirmative vote of the members of the committee or subgroup. Additional committee or subgroup officers with associated responsibilities may be created as necessary by a majority affirmative vote of the members of the committee or subgroup. The additional officers shall be elected by a majority affirmative vote of the members of the committee or subgroup.

Section 4 Meetings

Requirements and procedures for committee or subgroup meetings shall follow those established in Article IX of these bylaws, including requirements for notice. Committees or subgroups may adopt their own rules of procedure, if authorized by the ETRWPG and the rules are not in conflict with state law, TWDB rules, or these bylaws.

Section 5 Books and Records

Requirements and procedures for committee or subgroup books and records shall follow those established for the ETRWPG in Article XI of these bylaws.

Section 6 Code of Conduct

Members of a committee or subgroup are subject to the requirements of Article V, Section 6 of these bylaws.

ARTICLE XIII COMPENSATION

Members of the ETRWPG are not to be compensated for their expenses by the State of Texas. Travel expenses for voting members may be reimbursed in accordance with rules adopted by the TWDB.

ARTICLE XIV COST ALLOCATION

The voting members of the ETRWPG shall develop and approve an equitable method or formula for the allocation of costs associated with the local match for state funding.

ARTICLE XV CONTRACTUAL SERVICES

The voting members of the ETRWPG shall make all decisions related to final approval of persons or entities selected by an eligible applicant to provide contractual services for the ETRWPG, including all services related to preparation, development, or revisions of the regional water plan for the ETRWPA. However, the voting members may delegate to the Executive Committee the authority to make all administrative decisions concerning amendments to TWDB Research and Planning Fund grant contracts for services related to regional water planning, except those

decisions concerning amendments related to scopes of work and budgets.

ARTICLE XVI ADOPTING AND AMENDING THE BYLAWS

These bylaws shall have full force and effect upon approval and adoption by the voting members of the ETRWPG, acting on behalf of the interests comprising the ETRWPA, and upon submission to the TWDB in compliance with 31 TAC 357.4. The voting members shall adopt these bylaws, and any amendments thereto by two-thirds majority vote of the voting membership.

ARTICLE XVII RESOLUTION ADOPTING BYLAWS

WHEREAS, the amended set of bylaws presented to this meeting are suitable for the purpose and their adoption is in the best interests of the ETRWPG; it is, therefore,

RESOLVED, that the members of the ETRWPG this 8th day of December 2010, approve and adopt the amended bylaws presented to this meeting of members as the bylaws of the ETRWPG; and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the bylaws be authenticated as such by the Secretary of the ETRWPG and placed in its minute book, and that a full and true copy of the bylaws, certified by the Secretary, be kept at the principal office of the ETRWPG for inspection by members or the public at all reasonable times during business hours.

3/16/2011

<u>ORIGINAL</u>

SIGNED (date) Secretary)

(Signature of

REGIONAL WATER PLANNING - REGION I RECOMMENDED NON-MUNICIPAL WATER DEMAND PROJECTIONS

Region I Irrigation Water Demand Projections

			Hi	storical Use			FIN	AL Projection	ns for the 20	21 Regional	Water Plans	5	DR/	FT Projectio	ons for the 2	026 Regional	l Water Pla	ins			Recomm	ended 2026	RWP Project	tion - Max 5-	Yr Avg (2010-2014 v. 2015-2019)
County	WUG Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	Comments:
Anderson	Irrigation	675	720	800	1,416	912	657	657	657	657	657	657	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	2015-2019
Angelina	Irrigation	110	189	151	189	97	779	779	779	779	779	779	147	147	147	147	147	147	779	779	779	779	779	779	2010-2014
Cherokee	Irrigation	498	403	399	386	309	451	451	451	451	451	451	399	399	399	399	399	399	451	451	451	451	451	451	2010-2014
Hardin	Irrigation	131	170	176	238	183	989	989	989	989	989	989	180	180	180	180	180	180	989	989	989	989	989	989	2010-2014
Henderson	Irrigation	403	347	470	534	543	885	885	885	885	885	885	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	459	2015-2019
Houston	Irrigation	1,262	1,376	1,578	1,800	1,639	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	2,137	2010-2014
Jasper	Irrigation	124	655	476	118	143	151	151	151	151	151	151	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	303	2015-2019
Jefferson	Irrigation	65,528	57,831	35,750	54,421	44,575	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	51,621	51,621	51,621	51,621	51,621	51,621	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	88,536	2010-2014
Nacogdoches	Irrigation	108	127	98	89	62	266	266	266	266	266	266	97	97	97	97	97	97	266	266	266	266	266	266	2010-2014
Newton	Irrigation	42	42	42	42	42	101	101	101	101	101	101	42	42	42	42	42	42	101	101	101	101	101	101	2010-2014
Orange	Irrigation	1,901	872	552	816	180	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	864	864	864	864	864	864	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	1,824	2010-2014
Panola	Irrigation	1,122	1,102	1,013	1,124	984	574	574	574	574	574	574	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	2015-2019
Polk	Irrigation	113	60	86	64	77	562	562	562	562	562	562	80	80	80	80	80	80	230	230	230	230	230	230	2010-2014
Rusk	Irrigation	139	148	200	173	206	276	276	276	276	276	276	173	173	173	173	173	173	276	276	276	276	276	276	2010-2014
Sabine	Irrigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2015-2019
San Augustine	Irrigation	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14	14	14	14	14	2010-2014
Shelby	Irrigation	6	7	7	8	7	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	7	7	7	7	7	10	10	10	10	10	10	2010-2014
Smith	Irrigation	400	444	419	430	375	772	772	772	772	772	772	414	414	414	414	414	414	448	448	448	448	448	448	2010-2014
Trinity	Irrigation	229	21	31	26	31	553	553	553	553	553	553	68	68	68	68	68	68	278	278	278	278	278	278	2010-2014
Tyler	Irrigation	293	276	256	260	267	354	354	354	354	354	354	270	270	270	270	270	270	354	354	354	354	354	354	2010-2014
Regional Total		73,084	64,790	42,504	62,134	50,632	99,881	99,881	99,881	99,881	99,881	99,881	58,629	58,629	58,629	58,629	58,629	58,629	99,429	99,429	99,429	99,429	99,429	99,429	

Region I Livestock Water Demand Projections

			His	storical Use			FIN	AL Projectio	ns for the 20)21 Regiona	l Water Plan	s	DR	\FT Projectio	ons for the 2	026 Regional	Water Pla	ns			Recomm	mended 202	6 RWP Proje	ection - Max	x Use by County from 2015-2019
County	WUG Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	Comments:
Anderson	Livestock	1,161	1,179	1,282	1,318	1,321	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	
Angelina	Livestock	630	644	661	674	684	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028	659	659	659	659	659	659	684	684	684	684	684	684	
Cherokee	Livestock	1,047	1,077	1,192	1,219	1,231	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	
Hardin	Livestock	200	201	160	164	164	198	198	198	198	198	198	178	178	178	178	178	178	201	201	201	201	201	201	
Henderson	Livestock	439	448	420	432	432	2,267	2,267	2,267	2,267	2,267	2,267	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,881	2,881	2,881	2,881	2,881	2,881	
Houston	Livestock	1,508	1,526	1,396	1,436	1,440	1,564	1,707	1,860	2,027	2,208	2,439	1,595	1,738	1,894	2,063	2,279	2,279	1,666	1,815	1,978	2,154	2,380	2,380	
Jasper	Livestock	270	273	263	272	272	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	489	489	489	489	489	489	10,492	10,492	10,492	10,492	10,492	10,492	Additional 10,000 AF/YR; identified TPWD contract
Jefferson	Livestock	783	799	647	669	669	837	837	837	837	837	837	713	713	713	713	713	713	799	799	799	799	799	799	
Nacogdoches	Livestock	2,441	2,514	2,281	2,316	2,373	9,693	10,122	10,619	11,195	11,854	12,836	2,491	2,613	2,755	2,917	3,159	3,159	12,625	12,754	12,904	13,074	13,329	13,329	Additional 10,000 AF/YR; identified TPWD contract
Newton	Livestock	112	114	86	89	89	168	168	168	168	168	168	98	98	98	98	98	98	114	114	114	114	114	114	
Orange	Livestock	187	187	184	187	187	255	255	255	255	255	255	186	186	186	186	186	186	187	187	187	187	187	187	
Panola	Livestock	1,108	1,142	1,012	1,032	1,049	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	
Polk	Livestock	113	114	91	93	93	355	355	355	355	355	355	101	101	101	101	101		114	114	114	114	114	114	
Rusk	Livestock	1,243	1,298	1,170	1,193	1,207	1,660	1,683	1,714	1,745	1,777	1,777	1,239	1,262	1,285	1,309	1,309	1,309	1,316	1,340	1,364	1,389	1,389	1,389	
Sabine	Livestock	131	134	227	236	237	129	176	231	294	363	363	263	345	439	542	542	542	323	424	540	667	667	667	
San Augustine	Livestock	466	481	455	466	475	2,004	2,219	2,465	2,751	3,066	3,066	519	577	644	718	718	718	533	592	660	736	736	736	
Shelby	Livestock	2,537	2,619	2,682	2,738	2,802	11,858	14,128	16,891	20,263	24,373	24,373	3,188	3,811	4,572	5,499	5,499	5,499	3,338	3,991	4,788	5,759	5,759	5,759	
Smith	Livestock	496	500	450	464	464	1,094	1,094	1,094	1,094	1,094	1,094	475	475	475	475	475	475	500	500	500	500	500	500	
Trinity	Livestock	166	169	182	187	187	403	403	403	403	403	403	178	178	178	178	178	178	187	187	187	187	187	187	
Tyler	Livestock	234	237	260	268	268	249	249	249	249	249	249	253	253	253	253	253	253	268	268	268	268	268	268	
Regional Total		15,272	15,656	15,101	15,453	15,644	49,314	52,441	56,186	60,681	66,047	67,260	18,965	20,016	21,259	22,718	23,176	23,176	39,922	41,037	42,355	43,901	44,381	44,381	

Region I Manufacturing Water Demand Projections

			н	istorical Use			FIN	AL Projectio	ns for the 20	21 Regional	l Water Plan	s	DRA	FT Projectio	ns for the 2	026 Regiona	l Water Plar	ns				I	Recommend	ed 2026 RWP	Projection
County	WUG Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	Comments:
Anderson	Manufacturing	724	1,537	1,405	1,300	1,307	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,686	1,748	1,813	1,880	1,950	2,022	1,686	1,748	1,813	1,880	1,950	2,022	
Angelina	Manufacturing	3,821	4,264	2,730	2,378	2,286	3,658	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878	5,518	5,722	5,934	6,154	6,382	6,618	5,612	5,819	6,034	6,258	6,489	6,729	Incorporated New Facilities Into Baseline
Cherokee	Manufacturing	60	57	61	63	63	115	129	129	129	129	129	82	85	88	91	94	97	82	85	88	91	94	97	
Hardin	Manufacturing	30	42	36	52	37	40	45	45	45	45	45	64	66	68	71	74	77	64	66	68	71	74	77	
Henderson	Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	806	985	985	985	985	985	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Houston	Manufacturing	158	148	183	181	133	169	232	232	232	232	232	201	208	216	224	232	241	201	208	216	224	232	241	
Jasper	Manufacturing	49,876	52,020	48,239	52,185	52,611	45 <i>,</i> 973	57,364	57,364	57,364	57 <i>,</i> 364	57,364	57,668	59,802	62,015	64,310	66,689	69,156	57,668	59,802	62,015	64,310	66,689	69,156	
Jefferson	Manufacturing	115,938	125,826	120,432	137,034	128,775	202,902	233,902	233,902	233,902	233,902	233,902	150,970	156,556	162,349	168,356	174,585	181,045	175,000	210,000	245,000	280,000	315,000	350,000	Incorporated New Facilities Into Baseline
Nacogdoches	Manufacturing	2,357	2,616	2,563	2,620	2 <i>,</i> 356	2,508	2,529	2,529	2,529	2,529	2,529	2,892	2,999	3,110	3,225	3,344	3 <i>,</i> 468	2,892	2,999	3,110	3,225	3,344	3,468	
Newton	Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	52	56	56	56	56	56	2	2	2	2	2	2	6,140	6,367	6,603	6,847	7,100	7,363	Incorporated New Facilities Into Baseline
Orange	Manufacturing	38,517	35,300	35 <i>,</i> 879	42,033	43,018	44,335	48,193	48,193	48,193	48,193	48,193	47,365	49,118	50,935	52,820	54,774	56,801	103,832	107,674	111,657	115,789	120,073	124,516	Incorporated New Facilities Into Baseline
Panola	Manufacturing	1,025	984	1,185	1,109	1,138	852	1,272	1,272	1,272	1,272	1,272	1,298	1,346	1,396	1,448	1,502	1,558	1,298	1,346	1,396	1,448	1,502	1,558	
Polk	Manufacturing	334	309	238	288	235	438	471	471	471	471	471	392	407	422	438	454	471	392	407	422	438	454	471	
Rusk	Manufacturing	14	12	15	16	12	32	34	34	34	34	34	26	27	28	29	30	31	26	27	28	29	30	31	
Sabine	Manufacturing	226	384	403	177	122	246	265	265	265	265	265	449	466	483	501	520	539	449	466	483	501	520	539	
San Augustine	Manufacturing	3	3	3	2	2	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Shelby	Manufacturing	1,693	1,693	1,685	1,693	1,691	1,696	1,696	1,696	1,696	1,696	1,696	1,860	1,929	2,000	2,074	2,151	2,231	1,860	1,929	2,000	2,074	2,151	2,231	
Smith	Manufacturing	2,580	1,866	1,735	2,165	1,936	2,960	3,353	3,353	3,353	3,353	3,353	2,850	2,955	3,064	3,177	3,295	3,417	2,857	2,963	3,072	3,186	3,304	3,426	Incorporated New Facilities Into Baseline
Trinity	Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tyler	Manufacturing	0	0	0	29	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	118	122	127	132	137	142	118	122	127	132	137	142	
Regional Total		217,356	227,061	216,792	243,325	235,828	306,788	354,410	354,410	354,410	354,410	354,410	273,445	283,562	294,054	304,936	316,219	327,920	360,180	402,031	444,137	486,506	529,147	572,071	

Region I Mining Water Demand Projections

			ŀ	listorical Use	9		FIN	AL Projectio	ns for the 2	021 Regiona	al Water Plan	ns	DR	AFT Projecti	ons for the 2	2026 Regiona	al Water Pla	ins					Recommer	nded 2026	RWP Project	ion					
County	WUG Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080		Comments:					
Anderson	Mining	1	3	0	0	0	140	177	185	147	105	75	34	34	34	34	34	34													
Angelina	Mining	28	63	173	699	372	486	585	410	312	237	180	780	819	855	887	915	940													
Cherokee	Mining	92	162	203	194	63	295	304	267	204	141	97	187	187	187	187	187	187													
Hardin	Mining	0	10	0	0	265	12	12	12	12	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	13													
Henderson	Mining	0	48	0	0	0	511	592	558	543	519	497	173	182	193	222	255	296													
Houston	Mining	86	21	0	0	0	322	254	187	119	51	22	302	302	302	302	302	302													
Jasper	Mining	2	0	0	0	0	148	118	88	58	28	14	28	28	28	28	28	28													
Jefferson	Mining	13	18	13	12	10	194	216	244	294	329	368	294	312	332	354	379	406													
Nacogdoches	Mining	106	72	365	404	613	7,000	4,500	1,643	1,299	958	707	891	891	891	891	891	891													
Newton	Mining	0	0	0	0	0	429	373	279	209	146	107	3	3	3	3	3	28 28 379 406 891 891 3 3 11 11 2,280 2,280 30 30													
Orange	Mining	0	0	0	0	2	309	314	313	314	319	327	11	11	11	11	11	302 302 28 28 379 406 891 891 3 3 11 11 2,280 2,280 30 30 489 489													
Panola	Mining	2,708	1,099	1,629	5,031	5,385	5,916	5,859	5,049	4,268	3,620	3,938	2,280	2,280	2,280	2,280	2,280	2,280													
Polk	Mining	0	0	0	8	1	247	195	144	92	41	18	26	27	28	29	30	30													
Rusk	Mining	2,560	2,120	640	661	275	2,990	4,007	3,870	3,724	3,601	3,592	489	489	489	489	489	489													
Sabine	Mining	0	0	0	0	0	1,500	1,365	1,203	1,046	888	776	203	203	203	203	203	203													
San Augustine	Mining	486	980	982	3,020	957	4,000	3,000	1,479	1,180	884	662	1,411	1,411	1,411	1,411	1,411	1,411													
Shelby	Mining	126	26	31	303	660	3,283	2,938	2,496	1,980	1,467	1,087	2,070	2,070	2,070	2,070	2,070	2,070													
Smith	Mining	388	212	89	314	261	421	448	481	503	518	555	427	446	466	487	510	534													
Trinity	Mining	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9													
Tyler	Mining	0	3	43	1	37	160	198	150	103	55	29	42	42	42	42	42	42													
Regional Total		6,596	4,837	4,168	10,647	8,901	28,373	25,465	19,068	16,417	13,929	13,073	9,673	9,759	9,847	9,952	10,062	10,179													

Region I Steam Electric Water Demand Projections

				listorical Us	e		FII	NAL Projectio	ons for the 2	021 Regiona	l Water Plan	s	DRA	FT Projectio	ons for the 2	2026 Region	al Water Pla	ans					Recommen	ded 2026 RV	VP Projection
County	WUG Type	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	Comments:
Anderson	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	1,408	1,408	1,408	1,408	1,408	1,408	2,296	2,296	2,296	2,296	2,296		2,296	2,296	2,296	2,296	2,296		connents.
Angelina	Steam Electric	51	261	0	0	0	3,520	3,520	3,520	3,520	3,520	3,520	2,230	2,250	2,230	2,250	2,230	2,230	2,230	2,230	2,230	2,230	2,230	2,250	
Cherokee	Steam Electric	290	297	156	218	309	3,211	3,211	3,211	3,211	3,211	3,211	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	
Hardin	Steam Electric	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Henderson	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061	
Houston	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_,	0	0	_,	0	0	Same as Draft Projections
Jasper	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jefferson	Steam Electric	0	211	13	13	0	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nacogdoches	Steam Electric	0	248	238	400	193	-	0	0	0	0	0	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	
Newton	Steam Electric	5,778	3,893	5,466	6,808	6,430	5,778	5,778	5,778	5,778	5,778	5,778	6,808	6,808	6,808	6,808	6,808	6,808	6808	6808	6808	6808			
													-		-		-								Entergy Orange County Advanced Power Station.
Orange	Steam Electric	3,897	4,335	3,148	2,446	3,210	4,298	4,298	4,298	4,298	4,298	4,298	4,336	4,336	4,336	4,336	4,336	4,336	10,497	10,497	10,497	10,497	10,497	10,497	Estimated 8,000 ac-ft/year demand (From SRA)
Panola	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Polk	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rusk	Steam Electric	13,861	16,311	19,377	19,406	16,386	45,304	45,304	45,304	45,304	45,304	45,304	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	19,406	
Sabine	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
San Augustine	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Same as Draft Projections
Shelby	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Smith	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Trinity	Steam Electric	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tyler	Steam Electric	0	0	0	3	0	200	200	200	200	200	200	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Regional Total		23,877	25,557	28,399	29,295	26,528	67,011	67,011	67,011	67,011	67,011	67,011	35,621	35,621	35,621	35,621	35,621	35,621	41,782	41,782	41,782	41,782	41,782	41,782	

											egion I Popula						
		WUG Info					Pop. Based or ration Scenar						PAET Rovisi	ions by RWP	6		
iplit Region	County	EntityName	Recommended Projection for County	Feedback during Outreach	2030 Pop		2050 Pop			2080 Pop	2030 Pop	2040 Pop				2080 Pop	RWPG Comment
	ANDERSON	Anderson County Cedar Creek WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	706	705	696	686	677	669							
	ANDERSON	B B S WSC	1.0 Migration		1,064	1,061	1,048	1,035	1,021	1,008							
	ANDERSON	B C Y WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,645	1,642	1,620	1,600	1,580	1,559							
	ANDERSON	Berryville ²	1.0 Migration		15	15	15	15	15	14							
<u> </u>	ANDERSON	Brushy Creek WSC ²	1.0 Migration		2,812	2,808	2,771	2,736	2,701	2,666							
<u>i</u>	ANDERSON	County-Other, Anderson	1.0 Migration		4,595	4,423	4,283	4,038	3,766	3,476							
<u> </u>	ANDERSON	Elkhart	1.0 Migration		1,796	1,795	1,774	1,752	1,732	1,711							
<u> </u>	ANDERSON	Four Pines WSC Frankston ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	3,351	3,351	3,319 987	3,287	3,256	3,223							
/	ANDERSON	Frankston Rural WSC	1.0 Migration 1.0 Migration		1,001 1,563	1,000 1,561	1,540	1,521	1,502	1,482							
<u></u>	ANDERSON	Neches WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,226	1,224	1,208	1,193	1,177	1,162	_						
<u>.</u>	ANDERSON	Norwood WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	977	976	964	952	940	927	_						
	ANDERSON	Palestine	1.0 Migration		17,658	17,639	17,423	17,213	17,004	16,793							
1	ANDERSON	Pleasant Springs WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	900	899	887	876	866	854							
	ANDERSON	Slocum WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	2,823	2,819	2,782	2,747	2,711	2,677							
(ANDERSON	TDCJ Beto Gurney and Powledge Units	1.0 Migration	Approved	4,311	4,311	4,311	4,311	4,311	4,311							
1	ANDERSON	TDCJ Coffield Michael	1.0 Migration		5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755							
í	ANDERSON	The Consolidated WSC ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	2,809	2,852	2,896	2,940	2,985	3,031							
<u>. </u>	ANDERSON	Tucker WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	967	966	953	941	929	917							
	ANDERSON	Walston Springs WSC TOTAL	1.0 Migration		3,173	3,441	3,732	4,047	4,389	4,760							
1	ANDERSON	Angelina WSC	1.0 Migration 0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	59,147 2,926	59,243 2,978	58,964 2,999	58,619 3,028	58,279 3,057	57,944 3,084	3,911	3,981	4,009	4,048	4,087	4,123	2030 population set to current population served. Applied TWDB growth rate for WUG.
1	ANGELINA	Central WCID of Angelina County	0.5 Migration	ACVISION	6.016	6,124	6,181	6,242	6,303	6,364							https://www.inaccionwood
	ANGELINA	County-Other, Angelina	0.5 Migration	Approved	5,903	6,007	6,066	6,126	6,186	6,245	4,918	5,004	5,056	5,106	5,156	5.206	Population re-allocated to Angelina WSC
1	ANGELINA	Diboll	0.5 Migration	Approved	4,546	4,630	4,680	4,728	4,776	4,823							
í	ANGELINA	Four Way SUD	0.5 Migration		5,220	5,309	5,348	5,399	5,452	5,501							
(ANGELINA	Hudson WSC	0.5 Migration		10,407	10,587	10,667	10,771	10,873	10,975							
1	ANGELINA	Huntington	0.5 Migration		2,117	2,154	2,172	2,193	2,214	2,235							
<u> </u>	ANGELINA	Lufkin	0.5 Migration		40,845	41,558	41,880	42,290	42,694	43,097							
<u>i</u>	ANGELINA	M and M WSC	0.5 Migration		3,205	3,262	3,284	3,317	3,348	3,379							
<u>. </u>	ANGELINA	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	0.5 Migration		1,786	1,816	1,830	1,848	1,866	1,884							
<u></u>	ANGELINA	Redland WSC Upper Jasper County Water Authority ²	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration		2,596 249	2,640 248	2,660 248	2,685 248	2,711 248	2,736 248	_						
·	ANGELINA	Woodlawn WSC	0.5 Migration		2,130	246	248	248	2,226	2,246	_						
<u></u>	ANGELINA	Zavalla	0.5 Migration	Approved	688	699	705	711	717	725	_						
	ANGELINA	TOTAL	0.5 Migration	rippioreu	88,634	90,179	90,902	91,791	92,671	93,542	_						
1	CHEROKEE	Afton Grove WSC	0.5 Migration		1,439	1,477	1,518	1,562	1,608	1,657							
í .	CHEROKEE	Alto	0.5 Migration		940	930	914	892	873	852							
	CHEROKEE	Alto Rural WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	4,021	4,398	4,813	5,275	5,786	6,353							
1	CHEROKEE	Blackjack WSC	0.5 Migration		515	509	499	488	477	465							
1	CHEROKEE	Bullard ²	0.5 Migration		375	371	365	356	349	340							
<u> </u>	CHEROKEE	County-Other, Cherokee	0.5 Migration		3,903	3,340	2,644	1,874	1,046	153							
<u> </u>	CHEROKEE	Craft Turney WSC	0.5 Migration		4,720	4,671	4,580	4,478	4,377	4,274							
<u>. </u>	CHEROKEE	Gum Creek WSC Jacksonville	0.5 Migration		1,106	1,095 13.218	1,073	1,050	1,025	1,001							
<u> </u>	CHEROKEE	New Summerfield	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved	13,352 910	13,218	883	12,705	12,435	12,165 824							
,	CHEROKEE	North Cherokee WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	3.995	3,952	3,875	3,789	3,704	3,616	_						
<u></u>	CHEROKEE	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	75	74	74	72	70	68	_						
	CHEROKEE	Rusk	0.5 Migration		5,226	5,252	5,265	5,272	5,291	5,322							
1	CHEROKEE	Rusk Rural WSC	0.5 Migration	1	3,378	3,353	3,301	3,240	3,182	3,126							
1	CHEROKEE	South Rusk County WSC ²	0.5 Migration		27	28	26	27	23	23							
I	CHEROKEE	Southern Utilities ²	0.5 Migration		3,372	3,336	3,271	3,198	3,126	3,053							
1	CHEROKEE	Troup ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	59	58	57	56	55	53							
1	CHEROKEE	Walnut Grove WSC ²	0.5 Migration		81	81	79	78	76	74							
<u>(</u>	CHEROKEE	Wells	0.5 Migration	Approved	793	838	886	937	993	1,054	_						
<u></u>	CHEROKEE	West Jacksonville WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	1,605	1,588	1,556	1,523	1,487	1,453	_						
<u>.</u>	CHEROKEE	Wright City WSC ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	325	320	314	308	300	234	_						
1	CHEROKEE	TOTAL County-Other, Hardin	0.5 Migration 1.0 Migration	Approved	50,217 10,304	49,789 9,732	48,968 9,023	48,043 8,095	47,127 7,149	46,220 6,177	_						
	HARDIN	Hardin County WCID 1	1.0 Migration	Approved	10,304	9,732	9,023	1,039	1,058	1,077							
	HARDIN	Kountze	1.0 Migration	Approved	2,141	2,129	2,103	2,057	2,010	1,965							
	HARDIN	Lake Livingston WSC ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	146	146	144	140	137	1,505							
	HARDIN	Lumberton MUD	1.0 Migration	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	22,523	22,377	22,093	21,585	21,082	20,588							
	HARDIN	North Hardin WSC	1.0 Migration	Requested Revision	7,319	7,272	7,178	7,012	6,848	6,686							Disagreed with projection, but no alternative provided yet. Consultant will follow up.
1	HARDIN	Silsbee	1.0 Migration		7,825	8,260	8,719	9,203	9,714	10,253							
1	HARDIN	Sour Lake	1.0 Migration		1,580	1,570	1,549	1,514	1,478	1,444							
1	HARDIN	West Hardin WSC	1.0 Migration		3,736	3,712	3,664	3,579	3,496	3,414							
1	HARDIN	Wildwood POA ²	1.0 Migration		625	620	612	598	584	570							
	HARDIN	TOTAL	1.0 Migration	Denne 1	57,184	56,821	56,106	54,822	53,556	52,308	_						Desistence and the Consultant's state in the
I				Requested	1	242		244	211	211	1						Revision pending. Consultant is currrently coordinating with
I	HENDERSON ¹	Athens Berryville ²	1.0 Migration 1.0 Migration	Revision	210	213 697	211 752	211 757	211 762	766							WUG on revisions.

		WUG Info					Pop. Based on ration Scenar					D	RAFT Revisi	ons by RWP	G		
Split Region	County	EntityName	Recommended Projection for County	Feedback during Outreach	2030 Pop 2	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	2030 Pop	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	RWPG Comment
	HENDERSON ¹	Brownsboro	1.0 Migration		1,285	1,395	1,377	1,419	1,461	1,503							
	HENDERSON ¹	Brushy Creek WSC ²	1.0 Migration		30	31	30	30	30	30							
	HENDERSON ¹	Chandler	1.0 Migration	Approved	4,095	5,045	6,216	7,658	9,435	11,624							
	HENDERSON ¹	County-Other, Henderson	1.0 Migration	Approved	9,030	8,469	7,638	6,482	4,988	3,076							
	HENDERSON ¹	Edom WSC	1.0 Migration		262	284	280	289	297	306							
	HENDERSON ¹	Frankston ²	1.0 Migration		35	39	38	40	41	43							
	HENDERSON ¹	Leagueville WSC	1.0 Migration		2,230	2,374	2,374	2,438	2,502	2,566							
	HENDERSON ¹	Moore Station WSC	1.0 Migration		2,134	2,307	2,283	2,352	2,421	2,489							
	HENDERSON ¹	Murchison	1.0 Migration	Approved	576	567	600	607	613	619							
	HENDERSON ¹	R P M WSC ²	1.0 Migration		415	458	446	461	476	491							
	HENDERSON ¹	Virginia Hill WSC	1.0 Migration		1,693	1,752	1,788	1,827	1,865	1,903							
	HENDERSON ¹	TOTAL	1.0 Migration		25,474	26,404	26,918	27,503	28,080	28,649							
	HOUSTON	County-Other, Houston	0.5 Migration		2,689	1,931	1,362	835	396	6							
	HOUSTON	Crockett Grapeland	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved	6,099 1,336	5,743 1,363	5,184	5,032 1,417	4,827	4,583							
	HOUSTON	Lovelady	0.5 Migration		483	463	443	433	425	417							
	HOUSTON	Pennington WSC ²	0.5 Migration		483	387	329	310	285	260							
	HOUSTON	TDCJ Eastham Unit	0.5 Migration		2,464	2,464	2,464	2,464	2,464	2,464							
	HOUSTON	The Consolidated WSC ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	7,723	8,034	8,364	8,541	8,692	8,837							
	HOUSTON	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		21,221	20,385	19,547	19,032	18,522	18,017							
	JASPER	Brookeland FWSD ²	0.5 Migration		289	274	256	239	224	207	11 200	10 401	0.462	0.401	7 427	C 200	Desulation as allocated to Mauriceville MUD
	JASPER	County-Other, Jasper	0.5 Migration	Requested	11,304	10,511	9,505	8,539	7,511	6,387	11,286	10,481	9,462	8,481	7,437	6,298	Population re-allocated to Mauriceville MUD. Disagreed with projection, but no alternative provided yet.
	JASPER	Jasper	0.5 Migration	Revision	7,339	6,997	6,577	6,198	5,821	5,452							Consultant will follow up.
	JASPER	Jasper County WCID 1	0.5 Migration	inc vision	1,968	1,960	1,969	1,996	2,052	2,146							
	JASPER	Kirbyville	0.5 Migration		2,015	2,009	2,018	2,048	2,106	2,205							
	JASPER	Mauriceville SUD ²	0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	132	125	118	110	103	95	150	155	161	168	177	184	For total WUG, applied annual average 2010-2020 growth r (1.57%) to estimate 2030 population. Applied 10% growth from 2030-2080.
	JASPER	Rayburn Country MUD	0.5 Migration	Approved	825	783	732	687	641	596							1011 2030 2000.
	JASPER	Rural WSC	0.5 Migration		1,074	1,019	953	893	833	774							
	JASPER	South Jasper County WSC	0.5 Migration	1	2,180	2,069	1,934	1,814	1,693	1,573							
	JASPER	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	0.5 Migration		901	932	972	1,023	1,092	1,186							
	JASPER JASPER	Upper Jasper County Water Authority ² TOTAL	0.5 Migration		3,590 31.617	3,411 30.090	3,188 28.222	2,990 26.537	2,793 24.869	2,596 23,217							
			0.5 Migration	Requested													Percentage of County-Other population allocated to Beaun
	JEFFERSON	Beaumont	0.5 Migration	Revision	125,272	126,481	126,216	124,708	123,227	121,757	132,594	133,936	133,527	131,601	129,702	127,810	to capture population from
	JEFFERSON	Bevil Oaks	0.5 Migration		1,039	1,049	1,047	1,035	1,021	1,009							
	JEFFERSON	China	0.5 Migration	Approved	980	990	988	976	964	952							
	JEFFERSON	County-Other, Jefferson	0.5 Migration		15,216	15,489	15,178	14,295	13,415	12,527	7,894	8,034	7,867	7,402	6,940	6,474	Population re-allocated to Beaumont.
	JEFFERSON JEFFERSON	Federal Correctional Complex Beaumont Groves	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration		4,514 16,971	4,514 16,971	4,514	4,514	4,514 16,971	4,514							
	JEFFERSON	Jefferson County WCID 10	0.5 Migration	-	3,939	3,978	3,968	3,919	3,872	3,825	_						
	JEFFERSON	Meeker MWD	0.5 Migration	Approved	2,598	2,624	2,616	2,585	2,553	2,524							
	JEFFERSON	Nederland	0.5 Migration		19,417	19,612	19,557	19,321	19,088	18,859							
	JEFFERSON	Nome	0.5 Migration		513	519	516	511	504	498							
	JEFFERSON	Port Arthur	0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	47,614	48,091	47,961	47,383	46,812	46,249							Revision pending. Consultant is currrently coordinating wit WUG on revisions.
	JEFFERSON	Port Neches Trinity Bay Conservation District	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved	13,887 208	14,027 210	13,988 209	13,819	13,652 204	13,487							
	JEFFERSON	West Jefferson County MWD	0.5 Migration	Approved	8,182	8,232	8,306	8,407	8,511	8,618							
	JEFFERSON	TOTAL	0.5 Migration	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	260,350	262,787	262,035	258,655	255,308	251,994							
	NACOGDOCHES	Appleby WSC	0.5 Migration		3,646	3,766	3,876	4,060	4,242	4,421							
	NACOGDOCHES	Caro WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	2,567	2,652	2,729	2,859	2,987	3,112							
	NACOGDOCHES	County-Other, Nacogdoches	0.5 Migration		6,209	6,414	6,603 842	6,917	7,226	7,530							
	NACOGDOCHES NACOGDOCHES	Cushing D and M WSC	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	792 7,496	819 7,743	7,968	882 8,346	922 8,720	960 9,086							Disagreed with projection, but no alternative provided yet Consultant will follow up.
	NACOGDOCHES	Etoile WSC	0.5 Migration		1,450	1,497	1,541	1,614	1,686	1,757							and the following of the second
	NACOGDOCHES	Garrison ²	0.5 Migration		862	889	911	948	985	1,020							
	NACOGDOCHES	Lilly Grove SUD	0.5 Migration	Approved	2,461	2,541	2,614	2,736	2,856	2,975							
	NACOGDOCHES	Melrose WSC	0.5 Migration		2,482	2,564	2,638	2,764	2,886	3,009							
	NACOGDOCHES	Nacogdoches	0.5 Migration		36,389	37,462	38,422	39,870	41,314	42,756							
	NACOGDOCHES	Swift WSC Woden WSC	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved	2,556	2,641	2,717	2,848	2,975	3,100							
	NACOGDOCHES	TOTAL	0.5 Migration	Approved	69,121	71,271	73,210	76,305	79,370	82,405							
	NEWTON	Bon Wier WSC	0.5 Migration	1	418	363	305	252	200	147							
	NEWTON	Brookeland FWSD ²	0.5 Migration		395	357	316	279	242	206							
	NEWTON	County-Other, Newton	0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	6,697	6,008	5,255	4,556	3,861	3,162	6,642	5,909	5,106	4,337	3,564	2,777	Requested additional population to Newton County-Other. Population re-allocated to Mauriceville MUD.

		WUG Info					Pop. Based o gration Scena						DRAFT Revisi	ions by RWP	G		
Split Region	County	EntityName	Recommended Projection for County	Feedback during Outreach	2030 Pop	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	2030 Pop	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	RWPG Comment
1	NEWTON	Mauriceville SUD ²	0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	412	412	412	412	412	412	467	511	561	631	709	797	For total WUG, applied annual average 2010-2020 growth rat (1.57%) to estimate 2030 population. Applied 10% growth from 2030-2080.
1	NEWTON	Newton	0.5 Migration	Approved	1,506	1,371	1,223	1,087	956	832							
1	NEWTON	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	0.5 Migration		124	111		87		64							
1	NEWTON	South Newton WSC ²	0.5 Migration		1,641	1,483		1,157									
	ORANGE	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		11,193 11,861	10,105 12,737		7,830 13,221									
1	ORANGE	Bridge City County-Other, Orange	1.0 Migration 1.0 Migration		11,861	12,737		13,221		13,878	17,182	15,626	13,353	10,179	6,682	2 820	Population re-allocated to Mauriceville MUD.
	ORANGE	Kelly G Brewer	1.0 Migration		1,091	1,100		1,081		1,037	17,102	13,020	13,333	10,175	0,082	2,020	Population re-allocated to Madriceville MOD.
I	ORANGE	Mauriceville SUD ²	1.0 Migration	Requested Revision	9,520	9,578		9,393			10,789	11,881	13,080	14,382	15,813	17,389	For total WUG, applied annual average 2010-2020 growth rat 1.57%) to estimate 2030 population. Applied 10% growth from 2030-2080.
1	ORANGE	Orange	1.0 Migration		20,001	20,422		20,303									
1	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 1	1.0 Migration	Approved	12,233	11,685		10,896		9,475	_						
	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 2 Orangefield WSC	1.0 Migration 1.0 Migration		3,082 7,386	3,067		2,978			-						
i	ORANGE	Pinehurst	1.0 Migration	Approved	2,119	2,162		2,148				1		1			1
i	ORANGE	South Newton WSC ²	1.0 Migration	, pproved	1,321	1.351		1.344		1,318							
I	ORANGE	TOTAL	1.0 Migration	1	87,065	88,479		87,583				1	1	1			
1	PANOLA	Beckville	0.5 Migration		654	581	519	466		383							
1	PANOLA	Carthage	0.5 Migration		6,237	6,186	6,098	5,982									
I	PANOLA	Clayton WSC	0.5 Migration		188	206		238									
1	PANOLA	County-Other, Panola	0.5 Migration	Approved	11,269	10,919		9,924									
1	PANOLA	Deberry WSC	0.5 Migration		477	420		299		206							
I	PANOLA	Elysian Fields WSC	0.5 Migration		39	41		45		46							
1	PANOLA	Gill WSC	0.5 Migration	A	561	525 888		445									
1	PANOLA PANOLA	Hollands Quarter WSC Minden Brachfield WSC ²	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved Approved	928 114	136		181		721							4
	PANOLA	Panola-Bethany WSC	0.5 Migration	Approveu	725	646		522		432							
1	PANOLA	Rehobeth WSC	0.5 Migration		544	492		378									
i	PANOLA	Tatum ²	0.5 Migration		173	134		80		46							
I	PANOLA	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		21,909	21,174	20,156	19,357	18,566	17,783							
I	POLK ¹	Chester WSC ²	1.0 Migration		289	312		336									
I	POLK ¹	Corrigan	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,409	1,519	1,572	1,630	1,688	1,744							
1	POLK ¹	County-Other, Polk	1.0 Migration		4,062	4,388		4,724		5,071							1
	POLK ¹	Damascus-Stryker WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,544	1,668		1,797									
	POLK ¹	Lake Livingston WSC ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,115	1,205		1,298		1,392							
	POLK ¹	Leggett WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,115	1,205		1,256									
	POLK ¹																
	POLK ¹	Moscow WSC ² Soda WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved	590	636		686 175									
1			1.0 Migration		150	162			-								
	POLK ¹	TOTAL	1.0 Migration		9,173	9,905		10,662									
I	RUSK	Chalk Hill SUD	0.5 Migration		2,772	2,686		2,399		2,095							
1	RUSK	County-Other, Rusk	0.5 Migration		9,261	8,359		5,234									
1	RUSK RUSK	Cross Roads SUD Crystal Farms WSC	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration		2,814 1,349	2,924 1,482		3,195 1,812									4
	RUSK	Ebenezer WSC	0.5 Migration		717	1,482		620		542							
1	RUSK	Elderville WSC	0.5 Migration		1,497	1,451		1,296									
I	RUSK	Garrison ²	0.5 Migration		4	4		3	3	3							
1	RUSK	Gaston WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	1,339	1,298	1,232	1,159	1,086	1,013							
1	RUSK	Goodsprings WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	2,261	2,191		1,957		1,709							
1	RUSK	Henderson	0.5 Migration	-	12,409	12,285		12,198				-		-			
l	RUSK	Jacobs WSC	0.5 Migration		2,645	2,803		3,187									
	RUSK	Kilgore Minden Brachfield WSC ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	3,657	3,550		3,183			-	-	-	-			
l	RUSK	Minden Brachfield WSC* Mt Enterprise WSC	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration	Approved	1,884	1,827	1,735 1,281	1,633		1,425	-						ł
i	RUSK	New London	0.5 Migration	1	1,392	1,349		1,204		1,052		-	-	-			
i	RUSK	New Prospect WSC	0.5 Migration		942	911		815		711							
1	RUSK	Overton ²	0.5 Migration	1	1,960	1,902		1,707		1,502		1		1			1
1	RUSK	South Rusk County WSC ²	0.5 Migration		1,356	1,314		1,174									
1	RUSK	Southern Utilities ²	0.5 Migration		408	396	375	353	331	307							
1	RUSK	Tatum ²	0.5 Migration		1,329	1,288		1,151			_						
<u> </u>	RUSK	West Gregg SUD	0.5 Migration		87	106		163		255	_						
	RUSK	Wright City WSC ² TOTAL	0.5 Migration	Approved	155 51.024	151		135			-	-	-	-			
l	SABINE	Brookeland FWSD ²	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration		51,024 514	49,735 469		45,260 402			-						ł
	SABINE	County-Other, Sabine	0.5 Migration	Approved	1.262	1,153		402				-	-	-			
i	SABINE	G M WSC ²	0.5 Migration	, pproved	5,503	5,013		4,290									
I	SABINE	Hemphill	0.5 Migration	1	982	903		787		706		1	1	1			
1	SABINE	New WSC ²	0.5 Migration	1	66	59		50				1		1			1
	SABINE	Pineland	0.5 Migration	Approved	898	818	746	702	659	615							
					9,225	8,415	7,671	7,226	6,785	6,348							
1	SABINE SAN AUGUSTINE	TOTAL Choice WSC ²	0.5 Migration 0.5 Migration		3,223	0,410	7,071	13		0,010							

		WUG Info						on Optimized ario (0.5 vs. 1				D	RAFT Revisio	ons by RWP	G		
t ion	County	EntityName	Recommended Projection for County	Feedback during Outreach	2030 Pop	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	2030 Pop	2040 Pop	2050 Pop	2060 Pop	2070 Pop	2080 Pop	RWPG Comment
	SAN AUGUSTINE	Denning WSC	0.5 Migration		192	173	156	145	134	123							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	G M WSC ²	0.5 Migration		160	155	151	144	137	129							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	New WSC ²	0.5 Migration		1,253	1,128	1,020	948	876	808							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine	0.5 Migration	Approved	1,817	1,731	1,682	1,655	1,654	1,689							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine Rural WSC	0.5 Migration		1,587	1,736	1,840	1,799	1,752	1,699							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	Sand Hills WSC ²	0.5 Migration		34	41	48	48	47	47							
	SAN AUGUSTINE	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		7,322	6,728	6,204	5,805	5,410	5,019							
	SHELBY	Center	0.5 Migration		4,764	4,690	4,574		4,344	4,233							
	SHELBY	Choice WSC ²	0.5 Migration		798	853	921		1,094	1,207							
	SHELBY	County-Other, Shelby	0.5 Migration	Approved	9,623	9,588	9,307	9,012	8,644	8,204	9,053	8,877	8,481	8,091	7,644	7,139	Population re-allocated to Huxley.
	SHELBY	East Lamar WSC	0.5 Migration		755	806	870		1,033	1,140							
	SHELBY	Five Way WSC	0.5 Migration		1,171	1,180	1,188	1,184	1,181	1,178							
	SHELBY	Flat Fork WSC	0.5 Migration		525	437	366	300	247	202							
	SHELBY	Huxley	0.5 Migration	Requested Revision	1,035	894	779	684	605	540	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605	1,605	Estimated population using 2.25 persons per connection multiplied by existing connections. Assumed population sta constant.
	SHELBY	Joaquin	0.5 Migration		586	469	379	299	236	187							constant.
	SHELBY	McClelland WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	946	846	701	601	500	393							
	SHELBY	New WSC ²	0.5 Migration		59	69	82		98	108							
	SHELBY	Sand Hills WSC ²	0.5 Migration		1,753	1,998	2,336		2,746	2,966							
	SHELBY	Tenaha	0.5 Migration	Approved	817	725	595	505	412	317							
	SHELBY	Timpson	0.5 Migration	ripproteu	865	765	623		427	324							
	SHELBY	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		23,697	23,320	22,721		21,567	20,999							
	SMITH ¹	Arp	1.0 Migration	Approved	821	752	703	638	575	513							
				Approved				42			_						
	SMITH ¹	Ben Wheeler WSC	1.0 Migration		28	34	38		45								
	SMITH ¹	Bullard ²	1.0 Migration		4,169	4,827	5,286	5,713	6,129	6,535							
	SMITH ¹	Carroll WSC	1.0 Migration		668	742	794	838	882	925							
	SMITH ¹	County-Other, Smith	1.0 Migration		10,055	9,955	9,878	9,582	9,339	9,107							
	SMITH ¹	Crystal Systems Texas	1.0 Migration		422	494	544	590	636	680							
	SMITH ¹			Annennad	4,592	4,947	5,197	5,389	5,577	5,761	-						
		Dean WSC	1.0 Migration	Approved													
	SMITH ¹	Emerald Bay MUD	1.0 Migration		1,029	1,084	1,122		1,166	1,166							
	SMITH ¹	Jackson WSC	1.0 Migration		2,720	2,940	3,095	3,216	3,335	3,452							
	SMITH ¹	Liberty Utilities Silverleaf Water	1.0 Migration		655	779	865	947	1,027	1,105							
	SMITH ¹	Lindale	1.0 Migration		1,641	1,698	1,738	1,754	1,770	1,787							
	SMITH ¹	Lindale Rural WSC	1.0 Migration		3,067	3,302	3,468	3,595	3,720	3,842							
	-										_						
	SMITH ¹	Overton ²	1.0 Migration		31	33	34		36	37							
	SMITH ¹	R P M WSC ²	1.0 Migration		72	62	55		38	30							
	SMITH ¹	Southern Utilities ²	1.0 Migration		40,550	43,682	45,885	47,577	49,237	50,867							
	SMITH ¹	Troup ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	2,002	2,072	2,122	2,142	2,162	2,182							
	SMITH ¹	Tyler	1.0 Migration		111,397	117,843	122,390		128,394	131,335							
	SMITH ¹	Walnut Grove WSC ²		-	10,389	11,137	11,663	12,055	12,440	12,818	-						
			1.0 Migration														
	SMITH ¹	Whitehouse	1.0 Migration	Approved	7,404	7,494	7,561	7,506	7,457	7,412							
	SMITH ¹	Wright City WSC ²	1.0 Migration	Approved	1,324	1,370	1,418	1,468	1,519	1,572							
	SMITH ¹	TOTAL	1.0 Migration		203,036	215,247	223,856	229,712	235,484	241,174							
	TRINITY ¹	Centerville WSC	0.5 Migration	1	633	566	489	432	373	310							İ
	TRINITY ¹	County-Other, Trinity	0.5 Migration		1,783	1,738	1,708	1,703	1,699	1,698							
	TRINITY ¹	Groveton	0.5 Migration		340	301	254	219	183	145	_						
	TRINITY ¹	Pennington WSC ²	0.5 Migration		189	152	127	106	88	74							
	TRINITY ¹	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		2,945	2,757	2,578	2,460	2,343	2,227							
	TYLER	Chester WSC ²	0.5 Migration		593	518	439	381	318	253							
	TYLER	Colmesneil	0.5 Migration		688	661	638	622	607	595							
	TYLER	County-Other, Tyler	0.5 Migration		6,478	5,523	4,575	3,763	2,910	1,989							
_	TYLER	Cypress Creek WSC	0.5 Migration		522	462	410		326	294							
	TYLER	Moscow WSC ²	0.5 Migration	Approved	21	27	35	41	46	53							
	TYLER	Seneca WSC	0.5 Migration		738	699	662		612	588							
	TYLER	Tyler County SUD	0.5 Migration		3,104	2,970	2,859	2,778	2,703	2,639							
	TYLER	Warren WSC	0.5 Migration	Approved	2,064	2,064	2,064	2,064	2,064	2,064							
	TYLER	Wildwood POA ²	0.5 Migration		400	366	332	307	282	255							
	TYLER	Woodville	0.5 Migration		4,200	4,404	4,643	4,903	5,205	5,563							
	TYLER	TOTAL	0.5 Migration		18,808	17,694	16,657	15,861	15,073	14,293							
		REGIONAL TOTAL			4 400 202	1 120 520	1 120 257	1,115,204	1 110 004	1 104 007	1,108,362	4 4 3 6 5 3 6	4 430 357	4 445 304	4 440 004	4 404 00	

Region I Per-Capita Use (Gallons Per Capita Per Day - GPCD) Projections

		WUG Info		Draft TWDB Baseline	DRAFT Revisions by RWPG	Region I Per-Capita Use (Gallons Per Capita Per L		TWDB Revised Plumb	ng Code Savin	gs (<u>No Recommend</u>	d Revisions)	
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Baseline GPCD?	Baseline GPCD	Revised Baseline GPCD	RWPG Comment		PCSavings 2040 PCS				
I	ANDERSON	Anderson County Cedar Creek WSC	Yes	90		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.68	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27
I	ANDERSON	B B S WSC	Yes	87		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.44		4.97	4.97	4.97	4.97
I	ANDERSON	B C Y WSC	Yes	105		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.86	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55
I	ANDERSON	Berryville ²	Yes	97		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.79	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26
I	ANDERSON	Brushy Creek WSC ²	Yes	77		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
I	ANDERSON	County-Other, Anderson		127			6.53		7.26	7.26	7.26	7.26
I	ANDERSON	Elkhart		156			4.68		5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30
I	ANDERSON	Four Pines WSC		84			4.61	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
I	ANDERSON	Frankston ²	Yes	169		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.18	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82
I	ANDERSON	Frankston Rural WSC	Yes	119		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.32	5.04	5.04	5.04	5.04	5.04
I	ANDERSON	Neches WSC		118			4.68		5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36
I	ANDERSON	Norwood WSC		142			5.04	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62
I	ANDERSON	Palestine	Yes	232		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.94		5.58	5.58	5.58	5.58
I	ANDERSON	Pleasant Springs WSC	Yes	156		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.10		4.73	4.73	4.73	4.73
I	ANDERSON	Slocum WSC		107			4.22	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
1	ANDERSON	TDCJ Beto Gurney and Powledge Units	Yes	281		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.47		4.12	4.12	4.12	4.12
1	ANDERSON	TDCJ Coffield Michael		543			4.92	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55
1	ANDERSON	The Consolidated WSC ²	Yes	102		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.43		4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11
1	ANDERSON	Tucker WSC	Yes	99	125	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.98	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91
1	ANDERSON	Walston Springs WSC	Yes	92	134	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.43	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96
I	ANGELINA	Angelina WSC	Yes	76		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.61	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15
1	ANGELINA	Central WCID of Angelina County	Yes	63 102		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
		County-Other, Angelina	No									
	ANGELINA	Diboll Four Way SUD	Yes	119		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.83		5.47 5.11	5.47 5.11	5.47 5.11	5.47
I												
	ANGELINA	Hudson WSC	Yes	61		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
I	ANGELINA	Huntington	Yes	91		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.94	5.53	5.53	5.53	5.53	5.53
I	ANGELINA	Lufkin		149			4.92	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62
	ANGELINA	M and M WSC		77			4.65		5.23	5.23	5.23	5.23
	ANGELINA	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	Yes	88		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.61		5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
I	ANGELINA	Redland WSC		74	·		4.72	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30
I	ANGELINA	Upper Jasper County Water Authority ²		108			3.82	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36
I	ANGELINA	Woodlawn WSC	Yes	80		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.67	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21
I	ANGELINA	Zavalla	Yes	96		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.13		5.81	5.81	5.81	5.81
I	CHEROKEE	Afton Grove WSC		137			4.31	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
I	CHEROKEE	Alto	Yes	166		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.00		5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60
I	CHEROKEE	Alto Rural WSC	Yes	175		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.12		3.73	3.73	3.73	3.73
I	CHEROKEE	Blackjack WSC	Yes	159		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.24	6.33	6.33	6.33	6.33	6.33
I	CHEROKEE	Bullard ²	Yes	178		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.21		4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79
I	CHEROKEE	County-Other, Cherokee		105			5.39		6.03	6.03	6.03	6.03
I	CHEROKEE	Craft Turney WSC	Yes	84		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.86		5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44
I	CHEROKEE	Gum Creek WSC		88			4.96	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54
I	CHEROKEE	Jacksonville	Yes	151		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.78		5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40
I	CHEROKEE	New Summerfield		115	i l		4.44	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
1	CHEROKEE	North Cherokee WSC		110			4.46	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
1	CHEROKEE	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	Yes	88		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.61	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25
1	CHEROKEE	Rusk		151			4.89	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46
1	CHEROKEE	Rusk Rural WSC		92			4.50		5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07
1	CHEROKEE	South Rusk County WSC ²	Yes	89		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.57	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10
1	CHEROKEE	Southern Utilities ²	Yes	154		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.49	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10
1	CHEROKEE	Troup ²		178			4.77	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38
1	CHEROKEE	Walnut Grove WSC ²		112			4.37	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
1	CHEROKEE	Wells		144			4.58		5.08	5.08	5.08	5.08
1	CHEROKEE	West Jacksonville WSC		132			3.64	4.37	4.37	4.37	4.37	4.37
1	CHEROKEE	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	103		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.77		5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62
1	HARDIN	County-Other, Hardin		107	1		4.99	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54
1	HARDIN	Hardin County WCID 1	Yes	86		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.46	4.98	4.98	4.98	4.98	4.98
I	HARDIN	Kountze		108			4.71	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29
I	HARDIN	Lake Livingston WSC ²	Yes	62	105	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
I	HARDIN	Lumberton MUD	Yes	82		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.45	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05
I	HARDIN	North Hardin WSC		63			3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
I	HARDIN	Silsbee		119)		4.81	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41
I	HARDIN	Sour Lake	Yes	131		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.97	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54
I	HARDIN	West Hardin WSC	Yes	61		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1	HARDIN	Wildwood POA ²		174			4.80	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36
	HENDERSON ¹	Athens	Yes	183		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.03		5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62
1	HENDERSON ¹	Berryville ²	Yes	97	121	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.79	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26
	HENDERSON ¹	Bethel Ash WSC		92			4.75		5.14	5.14	5.14	5.14
	HENDERSON ¹	Brownsboro	Yes	143		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.76	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22
I	HENDERSON ¹	Brushy Creek WSC ²	Yes	77	141	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.60		5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
	HENDERSON ¹	Chandler		152			4.59	5.02	5.02	5.02	5.02	5.02

		WUG Info		Draft TWDB Baseline	DRAFT Revisions by RWPG		тwi	DB Revised Plumbi	ng Code Savings	(No Recommend	led Revisions)	
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Baseline GPCD?	Baseline GPCD	Revised Baseline GPCD	RWPG Comment	PCSavings 2030 PC	Savings 2040 PCSa	vings 2050 PCS	avings 2060 PCS	avings 2070 PC	Savings 2080
	HENDERSON ¹	County-Other, Henderson		83			4.98	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44
	HENDERSON ¹	Edom WSC	Yes	98		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.47	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05
	HENDERSON ¹								5.82			
1		Frankston ²	Yes	169		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.18	5.82		5.82	5.82	5.82
1	HENDERSON ¹	Leagueville WSC		96			4.46	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91
1	HENDERSON ¹	Moore Station WSC	Yes	115	164	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.05	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45
1	HENDERSON ¹	Murchison	Yes	140	175	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.84	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35
	HENDERSON ¹	R P M WSC ²	Yes	98		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.62	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16
	HENDERSON ¹	Virginia Hill WSC	Yes	87		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.53	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07
1	HOUSTON	County-Other, Houston		157			6.44	7.17	7.17	7.17	7.17	7.17
1	HOUSTON	Crockett		163		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.86	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42
1	HOUSTON	Grapeland	Yes	125		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.96	5.53	5.53	5.53	5.53	5.53
1	HOUSTON	Lovelady	Yes	173		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.70	5.24	5.24	5.24	5.24	5.24
1	HOUSTON	Pennington WSC ²	Yes	86		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.53	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10
1	HOUSTON	TDCJ Eastham Unit		399			4.20	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
1	HOUSTON	The Consolidated WSC ²	Yes	102		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.43	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11
1	JASPER	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	105		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.36	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.08
1	JASPER	County-Other, Jasper		95			5.04	5.68	5.68	5.68	5.68	5.68
1	JASPER	Jasper	Yes	194	221	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.86	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52
1	JASPER	Jasper County WCID 1	Yes	68	90	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.69	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32
1	JASPER	Kirbyville	Yes	163		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.85	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45
	JI DI LI	in by the	105	105	10.	instantal maximum nom 2010 2020 execcus buseline by greater than 20 of eb	4.05	5.45	5.45	5.15	5.45	
I	JASPER	Mauriceville SUD ²		63			3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	JASPER	Baultura Causta MUD	Yes	95	200	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 succeeds becaling by grapher than 20 CDCD	4.69	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	E 21
		Rayburn Country MUD	Yes			Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD						5.37
	JASPER	Rural WSC		93			4.58	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12
	JASPER	South Jasper County WSC	Yes	68		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	3.94	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46
1	JASPER	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	Yes	78		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.65	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27
	JASPER	Upper Jasper County Water Authority ²		108			3.82	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.36
	JEFFERSON	Beaumont Bevil Oaks		212			4.89	5.56	5.56	5.56	5.56	5.56
	JEFFERSON	China	Yes	104		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.10	5.65	5.65	5.65	5.65	5.65
	IEFEERSON	County-Other, Jefferson	105	142		This concerning and the second second by Breater than to or es	5.43	6.47	6.47	6.47	6.47	6.47
	JEFFERSON	Federal Correctional Complex Beaumont		124			2.68	3.36	3.36	3.36	3.36	3.36
	JEFFERSON	Groves		125			4.59	5.14	5.14	5.14	5.14	5.14
	JEFFERSON	Jefferson County WCID 10	Yes	79		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.75	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39
	JEFFERSON	Meeker MWD	Yes	116		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.73	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50
	IFFFFRSON	Nederland	ies	110		historical maximum nom 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.65	5.23	5.23	5.23	5.23	5.23
1		Nome	Vee	110		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.65	0.00	5.23	5.23	5.27	5.23
	JEFFERSON	Nome	Yes	101	257	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.05	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27
1	JEFFERSON	Port Arthur	Yes	311		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.71	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42
1	JEFFERSON	Port Neches	Yes	93		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.81	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46
1	JEFFERSON	Trinity Bay Conservation District		158			4.55	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07
1	JEFFERSON	West Jefferson County MWD	Yes	78	106	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.69	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35
1	NACOGDOCHES	Appleby WSC	Yes	162		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.46	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01
1	NACOGDOCHES	Caro WSC	Yes	88		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.58	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17
1	NACOGDOCHES	County-Other, Nacogdoches		92			5.78	6.54	6.54	6.54	6.54	6.54
1	NACOGDOCHES	Cushing		162			4.81	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36
I	NACOGDOCHES	D and M WSC		130			4.43	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	NACOGDOCHES	Etoile WSC	Yes	102	212	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.36	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96
1	NACOGDOCHES	Garrison ²	Yes	201		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.02	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63
1	NACOGDOCHES	Lilly Grove SUD	Yes	125		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.63	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27
1	NACOGDOCHES	Melrose WSC	Yes	130		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.99	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88
1	NACOGDOCHES	Nacogdoches	Yes	164		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.94	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55
1	NACOGDOCHES	Swift WSC	Yes	138		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.75	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32
1	NACOGDOCHES	Woden WSC		110			4.30	4.99	4.99	4.99	4.99	4.99
1	NEWTON	Bon Wier WSC		188			4.39	4.99	4.99	4.99	4.99	4.99
1	NEWTON	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	105	143	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.36	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.08
I	NEWTON	County-Other, Newton		98			4.83	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35
1	NEWTON	Mauriceville SUD ²		63			3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
1	NEWTON	Newton	Yes	160	200	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.81	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35
	NEWTON	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	Yes	78			4.81	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	
						Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD						5.27
	NEWTON	South Newton WSC ²	Yes	60		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	ORANGE	Bridge City	Yes	80		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.35	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
	ORANGE	County-Other, Orange		104			4.89	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59
	ORANGE	Kelly G Brewer	Yes	139	262	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.54	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07

		WUG Info		Draft TWDB Baseline	DRAFT Revisions by RWPG			ти	DB Revised Plumbi	ng Code Savings	(No Recommend	led Revisions)	
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Baseline GPCD?	Baseline GPCD	Revised Baseline GPCD	RWPG Comment	PCSaving	s 2030 PC	Savings 2040 PCSa	vings 2050 PCS	avings 2060 PCS	avings 2070 PCSa	vings 208
I	ORANGE	Mauriceville SUD ²		63				3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.0
1	ORANGE	Orange	Yes	119	162	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.81	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.4
1	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 1		111				4.74	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.3
	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 2	Yes	122		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.89 4.15	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.52	5.5
1	ORANGE	Orangefield WSC Pinehurst	Yes	83		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.15 5.05	5.68	5.68	5.68	5.68	4.7
1	ORANGE	South Newton WSC ²	Yes	60		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
	PANOLA	Beckville	103	123		instonear maximum nom 2010 2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 Greb		4.52	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.1
	PANOLA	Carthage	Yes	214		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.92	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.4
I	PANOLA	Clayton WSC		1225				4.65	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.3
1	PANOLA	County-Other, Panola		90				4.96	5.69	5.69	5.69	5.69	5.6
1	PANOLA	Deberry WSC	Yes	141		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.63	5.28	5.28	5.28	5.28	5.2
1	PANOLA	Elysian Fields WSC		115				3.78	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.2
1	PANOLA	Gill WSC	Yes	104		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		5.30	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.60	6.6
	PANOLA	Hollands Quarter WSC	Yes	98		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.32	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.0
-	PANOLA	Minden Brachfield WSC ²	Yes	60 178		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
ľ	PANOLA	Panola-Bethany WSC Rehobeth WSC	Yes	1/8		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	+ +	4.74	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.3 5.4
<u> </u>	PANOLA	Tatum ²	105	122		instancer meximum from 2010-2020 exceeds beseine by greater than 20 GPCD		4.78	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.3
	POLK ¹	Chester WSC ²		173			11	4.08	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.8
	POLK ¹	Corrigan	Yes	130		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		5.48	6.11	6.11	6.11	6.11	6.1
Ľ——	POLK ¹	Corrigan County-Other, Polk	res	94		mistorical maximum nom 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		5.48 4.81	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.3
				-			_			0.00	0.00	0.00	
1	POLK ¹	Damascus-Stryker WSC		113				4.19	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.6
I	POLK ¹	Lake Livingston WSC ²	Yes	62		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.0
1	POLK ¹	Leggett WSC	Yes	149		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.84	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.3
I	POLK ¹	Moscow WSC ²		133				4.17	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.8
I	POLK ¹	Soda WSC	Yes	75	115	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		5.21	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.6
1	RUSK	Chalk Hill SUD		79				4.43	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.0
1	RUSK	County-Other, Rusk		98				5.20	5.87	5.87	5.87	5.87	5.8
1	RUSK	Cross Roads SUD	Yes	75		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.20	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.7
1	RUSK	Crystal Farms WSC		90		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4.23	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.9
	RUSK	Ebenezer WSC	Yes	140		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.33	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.0
-	RUSK RUSK	Elderville WSC Garrison ²	Yes Yes	60 201		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		0.00 5.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
1	RUSK	Garrison* Gaston WSC	Yes	201		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.36	5.07	5.63	5.03	5.07	5.6
1	RUSK	Goodsprings WSC	Yes	82		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.06	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.7
	RUSK	Henderson	163	225		Instance maximum nom 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 Greb		4.84	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.4
I	RUSK	Jacobs WSC		108				3.77	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.28	4.2
I	RUSK	Kilgore	Yes	194		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		5.07	5.94	5.94	5.94	5.94	5.9
I	RUSK	Minden Brachfield WSC ²	Yes	60		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
1	RUSK	Mt Enterprise WSC		147				4.58	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.1
1	RUSK	New London	Yes	313				4.86	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.4
1	RUSK	New Prospect WSC	Yes	71		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.84	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.5
1	RUSK	Overton ²	Yes	190		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.82	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.3
l <u> </u>	RUSK	South Rusk County WSC ²	Yes	89		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.57	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.1
1	RUSK	Southern Utilities ² Tatum ²	Yes	154		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	_	4.49	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.1
ľ	RUSK	Vest Gregg SUD		173			+ +	4.68	5.32	5.32	5.32 5.01	5.32	5.3
	RUSK	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	103		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.45	5.62	5.62	5.01	5.62	5.0
i	SABINE	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	105		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.77	5.08	5.08	5.02	5.08	5.0
I	SABINE	County-Other, Sabine		78				5.23	5.92	5.92	5.92	5.92	5.9
1	SABINE	G M WSC ²	Yes	60		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
1	SABINE	Hemphill	Yes	211		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.95	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.6
1	SABINE	New WSC ²		66				4.80	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.4
1	SABINE	Pineland	Yes	84		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.91	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.6
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	Choice WSC ²	Yes	100		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		6.48	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.8
l <u> </u>	SAN AUGUSTINE	County-Other, San Augustine		87				5.31	5.90	5.90	5.90	5.90	5.9
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	Denning WSC	Yes	497		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	-	4.77	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.4
ľ	SAN AUGUSTINE	G M WSC ² New WSC ²	Yes	60 66		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	+ +	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
<u> </u>	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine	Yes	219		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.80	5.28	5.43	5.28	5.28	5.2
	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine Rural WSC	Yes	86		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		3.84	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.4
I	SAN AUGUSTINE	Sand Hills WSC ²		154				4.32	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.8
1	SHELBY	Center	Yes	295	405	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.88	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.4
1	SHELBY	Choice WSC ²	Yes	100	126	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		6.48	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.87	7.8
1	SHELBY	County-Other, Shelby		99				4.76	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.3
1	SHELBY	East Lamar WSC	Yes	115		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.85	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.4
1	SHELBY	Five Way WSC	Yes	97		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.74	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.3
1	SHELBY	Flat Fork WSC	Yes	100	198	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.58	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.3
I	SHELBY	Huxley	Yes	116	155	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		3.87	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.5
	SHELBY	Joaquin	Yes	138	103	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD		4.82	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5

tion County SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHITH' SMITH'											
County SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHITH' SMITH'	WUG Info		Draft TWDB	Revisions by		TW	DB Revised Plumbin	ig Code Savings (No Recommende	ed Revisions)	
County SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHITH' SMITH'			Baseline	RWPG	RWPG Comment						
County SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHITH' SMITH'		Revision to			KWPG comment						
SHELBY SHITH' SMITH' SM	EntityName	Baseline		Revised							
SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SMITH' SM		GPCD?	Baseline GPCD	Baseline GPCD		PCSavings 2030 PC	Savings 2040 PCSa	vings 2050 PCSa	vings 2060 PCSa	vings 2070 PCSa	avings 201
SHELBY SHELBY SHELBY SMITH' SM	McClelland WSC	Yes	140	182	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.02	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.
SHELBY SHELBY SMITH ¹ SM	New WSC ²		66			4.80	5.43	5.43	5.43	5.43	5
SHELBY SMITH' SM	Sand Hills WSC ²		154			4.32	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4
SMITH' SM	Tenaha	Yes	162		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.74	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	5
SMITH' SM	Timpson	Yes	128		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.91	5.49	5.49	5.49	5.49	5
SMITH' SM	Arp	Yes	145		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.97	5.68	5.68	5.68	5.68	5
SMITH' SM	Ben Wheeler WSC	Yes	76	95	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.47	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4
SMITH' SM	Bullard ²	Yes	178	218	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.21	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4
SMITH' SM	Carroll WSC		105			4.38	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4
SMITH' TRINITY' TYLER TYLER TYLER	County-Other, Smith		106			4.97	5.60	5.60	5.60	5.60	5
SMITH' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRUNTY' TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Crystal Systems Texas		280			4.70	5.23	5.23	5.23	5.23	5
SMITH ¹ SMITH ² TRINITY ⁴ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Dean WSC		145			4.37	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4
SMITH' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TVLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Emerald Bay MUD	Yes	141	225	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.80	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	5
SMITH' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TVLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Jackson WSC	Yes	82		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.48	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5
SMITH' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Liberty Utilities Silverleaf Water	Yes	61		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹	Lindale	105	203		instanted maximum nom 2010 2020 exected baseline by greater than 20 of eb	5.35	6.24	6.24	6.24	6.24	6
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Lindale Rural WSC	Yes	203		Ulaterial maximum from 2010-2020 succeeds becaling by greater than 20 CBCD	4.34	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4
SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TVLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Overton ²	Yes	190		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.34	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRUER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	B P M WSC ²		190								5.
SMITH' SMITH' SMITH' SMITH' SMITH' SMITH' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TRINITY' TYLER		Yes			Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.62	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Southern Utilities ²	Yes	154		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.49	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRUER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Troup ²		178			4.77	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	5
SMITH ¹ SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Tyler	Yes	172		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.98	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	5
SMITH ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Walnut Grove WSC ²		112			4.37	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4
TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Whitehouse	Yes	114	126	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.80	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5
TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	103	135	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.77	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5
TRINITY ¹ TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Centerville WSC	Yes	112	172	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.64	5.24	5.24	5.24	5.24	5
TRINITY ¹ TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	County-Other, Trinity		65			5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5
TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Groveton	Yes	96	137	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	5.16	5.75	5.75	5.75	5.75	5
TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER TYLER	Pennington WSC ²	Yes	86	181	Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.53	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	5
TYLER TYLER TYLER	Chester WSC ²		156			4.21	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4
TYLER TYLER	Colmesneil		216	i		4.80	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5
TYLER	County-Other, Tyler		114			5.16	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	5
	Cypress Creek WSC		178			4.74	5.27	5.27	5.27	5.27	5
TYLER	Moscow WSC ²		133		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.17	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.84	4
7)// 50	Seneca WSC	Yes	136		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.92	5.57	5.57	5.57	5.57	5
TYLER	Tyler County SUD Warren WSC	Yes	105		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.33	5.05	5.05	5.05 4.52	5.05	5
TYLER	Wildwood POA ²	Yes	122		Historical maximum from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.02	4.52	4.52	5.36	5.36	4
TYLER	Woodville	163	192		instances measurem from 2010-2020 exceeds baseline by greater than 20 GPCD	4.88	5.47	5.47	5.47	5.47	5

In This county is split between multiple Water Planning Regions. The numbers in this row only reflect the estimated values within this county in Region I.
 This Water User Group is split between multiple counties. The numbers in this row reflect the estimated value located within this county split in Region I.

	Revision to Populat	tion Projection							Region I	Municipal	Nater Dem	and Projec	tions			
		WUG Info						o Populatior n) * 365)/32			1	DRAFT Revis	ions by RWI	2G		
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Demand Projection?	2030 Demand	2040 Demand	2050 Demand	2060 Demand	2070 Demand	2080 Demand	2030 Demand	2040 Demand	2050 Demand	2060 Demand		2080 Demand	RWPG Comment
I	ANDERSON	Anderson County Cedar Creek WSC	Yes	6		-		5 64		114				109		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	B B S WSC	Yes	98						13				131		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	B C Y WSC	Yes	185						26					249	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	Berryville ²	Yes	1	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		2	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	Brushy Creek WSC ²	Yes	228						43						Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	County-Other, Anderson		620						62						2
	ANDERSON	Elkhart		304						304						3
	ANDERSON	Four Pines WSC	N	298						29					284	
1	ANDERSON	Frankston ²	Yes	184						21						Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	Frankston Rural WSC	Yes	201						23					147	Revision to baseline GPCD
	ANDERSON ANDERSON	Neches WSC Norwood WSC		150						15					147	
-	ANDERSON	Palestine	Yes	4,493						5,71						Revision to baseline GPCD
	ANDERSON	Pleasant Springs WSC	Yes	4,49						5,71						Revision to baseline GPCD
	ANDERSON	Slocum WSC	res	325						32					306	Revision to baseline GPCD
i	ANDERSON	TDCJ Beto Gurney and Powledge Units	Yes	1,340						1,73						Revision to baseline GPCD
i	ANDERSON	TDCJ Beto Gumey and Powledge Onits	103	3,469						3,46						Alexandre of co
i	ANDERSON	The Consolidated WSC ²	Yes	3,40						5,40				5,405		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	Tucker WSC	Yes	102						12						Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	Walston Springs WSC	Yes	31		-				46						Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANDERSON	TOTAL	163	12,994						15,43						
ı	ANGELINA	Angelina WSC	Yes	234						35				373		Revisions to population and baseline GPCD
1	ANGELINA	Central WCID of Angelina County	Yes	404	412	2 415	5 420) 424	428	62	1 632	2 638	644	651	657	Revision to baseline GPCD
l.	ANGELINA	County-Other, Angelina		638						53						Revision to population
I	ANGELINA	Diboll	Yes	583	589			1 607	613	68			707	714		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	ANGELINA	Four Way SUD		435		9 443	3 447			43			447		455	5
1	ANGELINA	Hudson WSC	Yes	699		2 717	7 724			1,004	1 1,021	1 1,029	1,039	1,049	1,058	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANGELINA	Huntington	Yes	204	1 200	6 208	3 210	212	214	26	1 264	1 266	269	272	274	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	ANGELINA	Lufkin		6,592	6,674	4 6,726	6,792	2 6,857	6,922	6,59	2 6,674	4 6,726	6,792	6,857	6,922	2
I	ANGELINA	M and M WSC		260	262	2 264	1 267	7 269	272	26	262	2 264	267	269	272	2
I	ANGELINA	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	Yes	16	7 168	8 170	171	1 173	175	19	5 198	3 200	202	204	206	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	ANGELINA	Redland WSC		201	L 203	3 205	5 207	7 209	211	20	1 203	3 205	207	209	211	1
1	ANGELINA	Upper Jasper County Water Authority ²		29	29	9 29	29	29	29	2	29 29	29 29	29	29	29)
I	ANGELINA	Woodlawn WSC	Yes	180	182	2 183	3 185	5 186	188	24	1 244	4 245	248	250	253	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	ANGELINA	Zavalla	Yes	70	7:	1 71	L 72	2 72		10	2 103	3 104	105	106	107	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	ANGELINA	TOTAL		10,694	10,82	8 10,916	5 11,023	3 11,128	11,234	11,51	5 11,662	2 11,758	11,874	11,988	12,100	
I	CHEROKEE	Afton Grove WSC		214	1 219	9 225	5 231	1 238	245	214	1 219	225	231	238	245	
I	CHEROKEE	Alto	Yes	170						21						
1	CHEROKEE	Alto Rural WSC	Yes	774						94						
I	CHEROKEE	Blackjack WSC	Yes	89						10					91	
1	CHEROKEE	Bullard ²	Yes	73						9						Revision to baseline GPCD
1	CHEROKEE	County-Other, Cherokee		435						43						
l	CHEROKEE	Craft Turney WSC	Yes	418						63						Revision to baseline GPCD
!	CHEROKEE	Gum Creek WSC		103		-			-	10				95		
!	CHEROKEE	Jacksonville	Yes	2,18						2,57			1			Revision to baseline GPCD
l	CHEROKEE	New Summerfield		113		-				11					101	L
<u> </u>	CHEROKEE	North Cherokee WSC		472						47					425	l Devision to baseline CRCC
l	CHEROKEE	Pollok-Redtown WSC ²	Yes	051						0.5	-	-			1	Revision to baseline GPCD
l	CHEROKEE	Rusk Buck Buck WSC		855						85						
-	CHEROKEE	Rusk Rural WSC	Vac	33:	L 320	6 321				33					304	Povision to baseling CRCD
	CHEROKEE	South Rusk County WSC ²	Yes	565	5 550			-		65		-	-		500	Revision to baseline GPCD Revision to baseline GPCD
	CHEROKEE	Southern Utilities ²	res	565						1					588	
P	CHEROKEE	1		1						1					10	
<u>'</u>	CHEROKEE	Walnut Grove WSC ² Wells		10		-	·			12					164	4
<u>'</u>	CHEROKEE	West Jacksonville WSC		233						23						2
l	CHEROKEE	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	23						23						Revision to baseline GPCD
<u>'</u>	CHEROKEE	TOTAL	165	7,22						8,16		-				
<u>-</u>	HARDIN	County-Other, Hardin		1,17						1,17						-
<u>'</u>	HARDIN	Hardin County WCID 1	Yes	1,17						1,17						Revision to baseline GPCD
	HARDIN	Kountze	105	248						24						
<u> </u>	HARDIN	Lake Livingston WSC ²	Yes	248						24						Revision to baseline GPCD
<u>'</u>	HARDIN	Lake Livingston WSC ²	Yes	1,95				-	-	2,44	_					Revision to baseline GPCD
-			165									1				nevision to baseline Grob
1	HARDIN	North Hardin WSC		492	489	9 482	2 471	460	449	49	2 489	9 482	471	460	449	2

		WUG Info			-			9 Population 1) * 365)/32			C	RAFT Revisi	ions by RWP	G		RWPG Comment
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Demand Projection?	2030 Demand					2080 Demand		2040 Demand	2050 Demand			2080 Demand	
I	HARDIN	Silsbee		1,001	1,051	1,109	1,171	1,236	1,305	1,001	1,051	1,109	1,171	1,236	1,305	P
I	HARDIN	Sour Lake	Yes	223	221	218	213	208	203	296	294	290	283	276	270	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	HARDIN	West Hardin WSC	Yes	251	249	246	241	235	229	383	381	376	367	358	350	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HARDIN	Wildwood POA ²		118		116	113		108	118	117			110	108	
1	HARDIN	TOTAL		5,567	5,508	5,445	5,330	5,214	5,104	6,309	6,248	6,176	6,045	5,914	5,789	
I	HENDERSON ¹	Athens	Yes	42		42	42		42	44	45			44	44	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	HENDERSON ¹	Berryville ²	Yes	75	72	77	78	78	79	95	91	98	98	99	100	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Bethel Ash WSC		269	270	281	285	290	294	269	270	281	285	290	294	ł
1	HENDERSON ¹	Brownsboro	Yes	199	215	213	219	225	232	246	266	263	271	279	287	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Brushy Creek WSC ²	Yes	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Chandler		676	831	1,023	1,261	1,553	1,914	676	831	1,023	1,261	1,553	1,914	
	HENDERSON ¹	County-Other, Henderson		789		664	563		267	789	736			433	267	
	1		N	27		29			32	32						Revision to baseline GPCD
<u> </u>	HENDERSON ¹	Edom WSC	Yes				30		32		35			36	3/	
	HENDERSON ¹	Frankston ²	Yes	6		7	7		8	7	8	8		9	9	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Leagueville WSC		229		242	249			229	242			255	262	
I	HENDERSON ¹	Moore Station WSC	Yes	265	286	283	291	300	308	382	412	408	420	433	445	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Murchison	Yes	87	86	90	92	92	93	110	108	114	116	117	118	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	R P M WSC ²	Yes	43	48	46	48	50	51	59	65	63	65	67	69	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	HENDERSON ¹	Virginia Hill WSC	Yes	156	161	164	168	171	175	241	248	253	259	264	270	Revision to baseline GPCD
	HENDERSON ¹	TOTAL		2,865		3,163	3,335		3,759	3,184	3,362			3,884	4,121	
	HOUSTON	County-Other, Houston		453		229	140		3,735	453	3,302			5,66	4,121	·
1	HOUSTON	Crockett		1,080		915	888		809	1,080	1,014			852	809	
	HOUSTON	Grapeland	Yes	1,000		187	190		194	224	228			239		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	HOUSTON	Lovelady	Yes	91		83	81		78	110	105			96		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	HOUSTON	Pennington WSC ²	Yes	39		30	28		24	84	76			56	51	Revision to baseline GPCD
	HOUSTON	TDCJ Eastham Unit		1.090	1,088	1,088	1,088		1,088	1.090	1,088			1.088	1.088	
I	HOUSTON	The Consolidated WSC ²	Yes	853	881	917	937	953	969	1,309	1,356			1,467	1,491	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	HOUSTON	TOTAL		3,786	3,611	3,449	3,352	3,257	3,163	4,350	4,191		3,953	3,864	3,776	
I	JASPER	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	33	31	29	27	25	23	45	42		37	35	32	Revision to baseline GPCD
l i	JASPER	County-Other, Jasper		1,139	1,052	951	854	751	639	1,137	1,049	947	848	744	630	Revision to population
I	JASPER	Jasper	Yes	1,555	1,477	1,389	1,309	1,229	1,151	1,780	1,692	1,590	1,498	1,407	1,318	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	JASPER	Jasper County WCID 1	Yes	140	138	138	140	144	151	207	205	206	208	214	224	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JASPER	Kirbyville	Yes	357	355	356	361	372	389	406	403	405	411	423	443	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	JASPER	Mauriceville SUD ²		9	8	8	7	7	6	10	10	11	11	12	12	Revision to population
I	JASPER	Rayburn Country MUD	Yes	83	79	73	69	64	60	279	264	247	231	216	201	Revision to baseline GPCD
I	JASPER	Rural WSC		106	100	94	88	82	76	106	100	94	88	82	76	
1	JASPER	South Jasper County WSC	Yes	156		138	129		112	216				167		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	JASPER	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	Yes	74			83		97	90	93			108		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JASPER	Upper Jasper County Water Authority ²		419		370	347		301	419	396			324	301	
1	JASPER JEFFERSON	TOTAL Beaumont		4,071 29,062	3,859 29,248	3,625 29,187	3,414 28,838		3,005 28,155	4,695 30,761	4,458 30,972	4,197 30,877	3,960 30,432	3,732 29,993	3,510 29,555	Revision to population
1	JEFFERSON	Bevil Oaks		99	100	100	98		96	99	100			97	96	
1	JEFFERSON	China	Yes	109		109	108		105	178	179	179	176	174	172	Revision to baseline GPCD
l i	JEFFERSON	County-Other, Jefferson		2,328		2,304	2,170		1,902	1,208	1,220			1,054	983	Revision to population
1	JEFFERSON	Federal Correctional Complex Beaumont		613			610		610	613	610			610	610	
1	JEFFERSON	Groves		2,289		2,279	2,279		2,279	2,289	2,279			2,279	2,279	1
1	JEFFERSON	Jefferson County WCID 10	Yes	328		327	323		315	596	599	598	591	583		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JEFFERSON	Meeker MWD	Yes	324		324	320		312	386	388			377		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JEFFERSON JEFFERSON	Nederland Nome	Yes	2,422	2,433	2,427	2,397 101		2,340 98	2,422	2,433 146			2,368	2,340	Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JEFFERSON	Port Arthur	Yes	16,336		16,417	16,219		15,831	18,315	18,461			17,970		Revision to baseline GPCD
1	JEFFERSON	Port Neches	Yes	1,372	1,375	1,372	1,355	1,339	1,323	1,552	1,557	1,553	1,534	1,515	1.497	Revision to baseline GPCD
	JEFFERSON	Trinity Bay Conservation District		36	36	36	36		35	36	36	36	36	35	1,437	
	JEFFERSON	West Jefferson County MWD	Yes	672			684		701	927	926			958		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	JEFFERSON	TOTAL		56,091		56,270	55,538			59,527	59,906			58,155	57,379	
I	NACOGDOCHES	Appleby WSC	Yes	643	662	682	714	746	777	1,045	1,077			1,213		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	NACOGDOCHES	Caro WSC	Yes	240	246	253	265	277	289	373	384		413	432		Revision to baseline GPCD
I	NACOGDOCHES	County-Other, Nacogdoches		600	614	632	662	692	721	600	614	632	662	692	721	
		Cushing				148			168	139	144			162	168	

		WUG Info			B Projected aseline GPCI		RWPG Comment									
olit egion	County	EntityName	Revision to Demand Projection?			2050 Demand		2070 Demand	2080 Demand	2030 Demand	2040 Demand	2050 Demand	2060 Demand		2080 Demand	KWFG Comment
	NACOGDOCHES	D and M WSC	riojection:	1,054	1,084	1,116	1	1	1,272	1,05		1,116	1		1,272	2
	NACOGDOCHES	Etoile WSC	Yes	159	163	168	175	183	191	33	8 348	358	375	392	408	Revision to baseline GPCD
	NACOGDOCHES	Garrison ²	Yes	189						25						
	NACOGDOCHES	Lilly Grove SUD	Yes	332						50						
	NACOGDOCHES	Melrose WSC	Yes	348					418	8					985	
	NACOGDOCHES	Nacogdoches	Yes	6,483						7,43						Revision to baseline GPCD
	NACOGDOCHES	Swift WSC	Yes	382		404			461	42						Revision to baseline GPCD
	NACOGDOCHES	Woden WSC	1.65	262						26						
	NACOGDOCHES	TOTAL		10,831						13,24						
	NEWTON	Bon Wier WSC		86							6 74					
	NEWTON	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	45	40				23		1 55					2 Revision to baseline GPCD
	NEWTON	County-Other, Newton	103	699		545				69						Revision to population
	NEWTON	Mauriceville SUD ²		28	28	28	28	28	28	3	1 34	38	42	48	54	Revision to population
	NEWTON	Newton	Yes	262	237	212	188	166	144	34	3 311	277	247	217	189	Revision to baseline GPCD
	NEWTON	South Kirbyville Rural WSC ²	Yes	10							2 11			7	f	Revision to baseline GPCD
	NEWTON	South Newton WSC ²	Yes	110				-		23					122	Revision to baseline GPCD
	NEWTON	TOTAL		1,240						1,45						
	ORANGE	Bridge City	Yes	1,005						1.20				1,443		Revision to baseline GPCD
	ORANGE		163	2,048					1,237	1,20						
	ORANGE	County-Other, Orange Kelly G Brewer	Yes	2,048						31						Revision to population Revision to baseline GPCD
	ORANGE	Mauriceville SUD ²		640		646				72						Revision to population
	ORANGE	Orange	Yes	2,558	2,598	2,610	2,583	2,557	2,531	3.52	5 3,586	3,601	3,565	3,529	3.492	Revision to baseline GPCD
	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 1		1,456					1,122	1,45					1.122	,
	ORANGE	Orange County WCID 2	Yes	404					365	45						Revision to baseline GPCD
	ORANGE	Orangefield WSC	Yes	652						92					1,792	
	ORANGE	Pinehurst	Yes	261					257	34						
	ORANGE	South Newton WSC ²	Yes	89						18						
			res													Revision to baseline GPCD
	ORANGE	TOTAL		9,277					8,797	11,10					10,601	L
	PANOLA	Beckville		87	77				51		7 77				51	
	PANOLA	Carthage	Yes	1,461	1,445					1,65						Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Clayton WSC		257		311			355	25						5
	PANOLA	County-Other, Panola		1,073	1,031	977		896	854	1,07	3 1,031	. 977				1
	PANOLA	Deberry WSC	Yes	73	64	52	45	38	31	ç	4 82	68	59	50	40	Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Elysian Fields WSC		5		-					5 5				6	5
	PANOLA	Gill WSC	Yes	62	57	52	49	45	42	8	5 79	72	67	62	57	Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Hollands Quarter WSC	Yes	97	92	87	83	79	75	12	5 119	112	107	101	96	Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Minden Brachfield WSC ²	Yes	8	9	11	. 12	13	14	1	3 15	5 19	20	22	24	Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Panola-Bethany WSC		141	125					14	1 125					1
	PANOLA	Rehobeth WSC	Yes	71	64	55	49	43	38	5	8 79	68	61	53	47	Revision to baseline GPCD
	PANOLA	Tatum ²		33	25						3 25					9
	PANOLA	TOTAL		3,368						3,65						5
	POLK ¹	Chester WSC ²		49					61		9 53					
			Vo-													Bovision to baseline CRCD
	POLK ¹	Corrigan	Yes	167	178				205	23						Revision to baseline GPCD
	POLK ¹	County-Other, Polk		406					504	40					504	
	POLK ¹	Damascus-Stryker WSC		188	202	210	218	226	234	18	8 202	210	218	226	234	1
	POLK ¹	Lake Livingston WSC ²	Yes	75	81	84	87	90	94	12	8 139	144	150	155	160	Revision to baseline GPCD
	POLK ¹	Leggett WSC	Yes	2							4 4					Revision to baseline GPCD
			165	-	-											
	POLK ¹	Moscow WSC ²		85							5 91		1			
	POLK ¹	Soda WSC	Yes	12	13	13	14	14	15	1 1	9 20	21	22	22	23	Revision to baseline GPCD
	POLK ¹	TOTAL		984	1,056	1,097	1,138	1,179	1,222	1,11	6 1,199	1,244	1,291	1,339	1,385	5
	RUSK	Chalk Hill SUD		232						23						1
										96						*
	RUSK	County-Other, Rusk	N.	963												/ Devision to becalia - CDCD
	RUSK	Cross Roads SUD	Yes	223					280	29						Revision to baseline GPCD
	RUSK	Crystal Farms WSC		130						13						
	RUSK	Ebenezer WSC	Yes	109						18						
	RUSK	Elderville WSC	Yes	101	98					16					122	
	RUSK	Garrison ²	Yes	1	1	1	. 1	1			1 1	·			1	Revision to baseline GPCD
	RUSK			149	144	137	128	120	112	14	9 144	137	128		112	

		WUG Info						o Population n) * 365)/32			D	RAFT Revis	ions by RWP	G		RWPG Comment
Split Region	County	EntityName	Revision to Demand Projection?	2030 Demand			2060 Demand	2070 Demand	2080 Demand			2050 Demand			2080 Demand	
1	RUSK	Goodsprings WSC	Yes	197			169			230	221	210		185	17	
1	RUSK	Henderson		3,060		3,002	3,000			 3,060	3,021	3,002		3,009	3,03	3
!	RUSK	Jacobs WSC	N	309		346	370			 309	326	346 998		397	42	
1	RUSK RUSK	Kilgore Minden Brachfield WSC ²	Yes Yes	774		711	671 110			 1,085 213	1,050 207	998 196		884 173	82 16	
	RUSK	Mt Enterprise WSC	165	222		204	191			 213	207	204		173	10	7
	RUSK	New London	Yes	271		250	235			 281	214	259		229		4 Revision to baseline GPCD
	RUSK	New Prospect WSC	Yes	70		63	60			 149	143	136		120	11	
I	RUSK	Overton ²	Yes	407		374	353			447	432	411		364	34	
I	RUSK	South Rusk County WSC ²	Yes	128		117	110			242	234	222		196	18	
I	RUSK	Southern Utilities ²	Yes	68		63	59	55	51	79	76	72	68	64	5	9 Revision to baseline GPCD
I	RUSK	Tatum ²		251	242	230	216	202	189	251	242	230	216	202	18	9
1	RUSK	West Gregg SUD		7	9	11	13	16	21	7	9	11		16	2	-
1	RUSK	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	17		16	15			 23	22	21				7 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	RUSK	TOTAL		7,816		7,339	7,045			8,710	8,476	8,172			7,20	
1	SABINE	Brookeland FWSD ²	Yes	58		48	45			 80	72	65		58	5	4 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SABINE	County-Other, Sabine		103		85	80			 103	93	85		75	7	1
1	SABINE	G M WSC ²	Yes	370		307	288			 619	564	513		452		2 Revision to baseline GPCD
	SABINE	Hemphill	Yes	227		191	181			 470	432	397			33	8 Revision to baseline GPCD
-	SABINE	New WSC ²	Vac	5		4	3			 160	4	4		122		3 E Revision to baseling CRCD
	SABINE	Pineland	Yes	80			62			 169	153	140				5 Revision to baseline GPCD
		TOTAL	Vac	843	766	700	659	1		 1,446	1,318 2	1,204			1,00	
	SAN AUGUSTINE	Choice WSC ² County-Other, San Augustine	Yes	207			1 96			 2	159	117		2	4	2 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	Denning WSC	Yes	106		86	80			 1207	108	98			4	*
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	G M WSC ²	Yes	11			10			 120	103	17			,	5 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	New WSC ²	163	86			64		-	 86	77	69			5	
	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine	Yes	436			396			 641	610	593		583	2	5 Revision to baseline GPCD
	SAN AUGUSTINE	San Augustine Rural WSC	Yes	146			164			 286	312	330		314		5 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	Sand Hills WSC ²		6		8	8			6	7	8		8		8
1	SAN AUGUSTINE	TOTAL		1,000	923	863	819	779	747	1,366	1,292	1,234	1,183	1,137	1,10	4
I	SHELBY	Center	Yes	1,548	1,521	1,483	1,446	1,409	1,373	2,133	2,096	2,045	1,993	1,942	1,89	2 Revision to baseline GPCD
I	SHELBY	Choice WSC ²	Yes	84	88	95	103	113	125	107	113	122	133	145	16	0 Revision to baseline GPCD
I.	SHELBY	County-Other, Shelby		1,016	1,006	976	945	907	860	956	931	889	849	802	74	9 Revision to population
1	SHELBY	East Lamar WSC	Yes	93	99	107	116	127	140	108	115	124	134	147	16	2 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SHELBY	Five Way WSC	Yes	121		122	122			151	151	152		151	15	
1	SHELBY	Flat Fork WSC	Yes	56	46	39	32	26	21	114	94	79	65	53	4	4 Revision to baseline GPCD
I	SHELBY	Huxley	Yes	130	112	97	85	76	67	271	270	270	270	270	27	0 Revisions to population and baseline GPCD
1	SHELBY	Joaquin	Yes	87			44			123	98	80			3	9 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SHELBY	McClelland WSC	Yes	143		105	90			187	167	138		98	7	7 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SHELBY	New WSC ²		4	5	6	6			 4	5	6	-	7		7
1	SHELBY	Sand Hills WSC ²		294	334	390	424			 294	334	390			49	
1	SHELBY	Tenaha	Yes	144		104	89			 250	221	182		126		7 Revision to baseline GPCD
	SHELBY	Timpson	Yes	119		85	72			 181	159	130		89		7 Revision to baseline GPCD
Ľ	SHELBY	TOTAL	Vac	3,839		3,665	3,574			 4,879	4,754	4,607		4,339	4,21	
	SMITH ¹	Arp	Yes	129		110	100			 154	141	132		108	9	6 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SMITH ¹	Ben Wheeler WSC	Yes	2	3		3			 3	3	4		5		5 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SMITH ¹	Bullard ²	Yes	812		1,026	1,108			999	1,154	1,263		1,465	1,56	
1	SMITH ¹	Carroll WSC		75	83	89	94	99	104	75	83	89	94	99	10	4
1	SMITH ¹	County-Other, Smith		1,138	1,120	1,111	1,078	1,050	1,024	1,138	1,120	1,111	1,078	1,050	1,02	4
I	SMITH ¹	Crystal Systems Texas		130	152	167	182	196	209	130	152	167	182	196	20	9
1	SMITH ¹	Dean WSC		723		815	846			723	776	815		875	90	
	SMITH ¹	Emerald Bay MUD	Yes	157	165	171	177			254	267	276		287	28	
Ľ –								-		 						
	SMITH ¹	Jackson WSC	Yes	236		267	277		298	 291	313	329		355	36	
1	SMITH ¹	Liberty Utilities Silverleaf Water	Yes	44		58	64			 168	200	222		264		4 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SMITH ¹	Lindale		363		383	387			363	374	383		390	39	
1	SMITH ¹	Lindale Rural WSC	Yes	226	241	253	262	271	280	391	419	440	456	472	48	7 Revision to baseline GPCD
I	SMITH ¹	Overton ²	Yes	6	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	8	8	8		8 Revision to baseline GPCD
I	SMITH ¹	R P M WSC ²	Yes	8	6	6	5	4	3	10	9	8	6	5		4 Revision to baseline GPCD
1	SMITH ¹	Southern Utilities ²	Yes	6,791	7.286	7,653	7.935	8,212	8,484	 7,832	8,407	8,831		9,476	9.78	
	SMITH ¹	Troup ²		388		410	414		422	388	401	410		418	3,78	
·																-
	SMITH ¹	Tyler	Yes	20,841	21,956	22,803	23,366	23,922	24,469	32,528	34,319	35,644	36,524	37,392		9 Revision to baseline GPCD

		WUG Info		Draft TWDB Projected Demand = (1.0 Scenario Population projection * (Baseline GPCD - PC Savings projection) * 365)/325851 DRAFT Revisions by RWPG													
lit gion	County	EntityName							2080 Demand							2080 Demand	RWPG Comment
	SMITH ¹	Walnut Grove WSC ²		1,253	1,336	1,399	1,446	1,493	1,538		1,253	1,336	1,399	1,446	1,493	1,538	
	SMITH ¹	Whitehouse	Yes	906	911	919	913	907	901		1,002	1,009	1,018	1,010	1,004	997	Revision to baseline GPCD
	SMITH ¹	Wright City WSC ²	Yes	146	149	155	160	166	171		192	198	205	212	219	227	Revision to baseline GPCD
	SMITH ¹	TOTAL		34,374	36,325	37,805	38,824	39,826	40,812		47,901	50,688	52,754	54,180	55,581	56,957	
	TRINITY ¹	Centerville WSC	Yes	76	68	58	52	45	37		119	106	91	81	70	58	Revision to baseline GPCD
	TRINITY ¹	County-Other, Trinity		120	117	115	114	114	114		120	117	115	114	114	114	
	TRINITY ¹	Groveton	Yes	35	30	26	22	19	15		50	44	37	32	27	21	Revision to baseline GPCD
	TRINITY ¹	Pennington WSC ²	Yes	17	14	12	10	8	7		37	30	25	21	17	15	Revision to baseline GPCD
	TRINITY ¹	TOTAL		248	229	211	198	186	173		326	297	268	248	228	208	
	TYLER	Chester WSC ²		101	88	74	64	54	43		101	88	74	64	54	43	
	TYLER	Colmesneil		163	156	151	147	143	140		163	156	151	147	143	140	
	TYLER	County-Other, Tyler		790	670	555	457	353	241		790	670	555	457	353	241	
	TYLER	Cypress Creek WSC		101	89	79	71	63	57		101	89	79	71	63	57	
	TYLER	Moscow WSC ²		3	4	5	6	7	8		3	4	5	6	7	8	
	TYLER	Seneca WSC	Yes	108	102	97	93	89	86		123	116	110	106	102	98	Revision to baseline GPCD
	TYLER	Tyler County SUD	Yes	350	333	320	311	303	295		633	604	581	565	549		Revision to baseline GPCD
	TYLER	Warren WSC		273	272	272	272	272	272		273	272	272	272	272	272	
	TYLER	Wildwood POA ²	Yes	76	69	63	58	53	48		76	69	63	58	53	48	Revision to baseline GPCD
	TYLER	Woodville		880	920	970	1,024	1,088	1,162		880	920	970	1,024	1,088	1,162	
	TYLER	TOTAL		2,845	2,703	2,586	2,503	2,425	2,352		3,143	2,988	2,860	2,770	2,684	2,605	
		REGIONAL TOTAL		179.954	181.583	182.018	181,552	181,141	180,791		211.531	214.033	214,910	214,697	214,545	214.456	