# MINUTES OF THE VITRUAL/JOIN BY PHONE REGIONAL WATER PLANNING GROUP "I" MEETING Thursday, August 5, 2020 – 10:00 A.M.

- 1. Call to Order Chairman Kelley Holcomb called the meeting to order at 10:03 A.M.
- 2. Invocation Chairman Kelley Holcomb
- 3. Welcome and Introductions Chairman Kelley Holcomb
- **4.** Roll Call/Determination of Quorum The roll was called by Stacy Corley and quorum was determined as follows:

#### Voting Members Present: (16 of 22)

David Alders Mark Dunn Roger Fussell Stevan Gelwick David Gorsich Scott Hall Kelley Holcomb Fred Jackson John Martin Matthew McBroom John McFarland Mary Vann DA for David Montagne (2<sup>nd</sup> time 2020) Gregory Morgan Monty Shank **Randy Stanton** Terry Stelly

#### Voting Members Absent: (5)

David Brock Chris Davis Josh David Darla Smith Worth Whitehead

# Voting Member Category Vacancies: (1)

**Small Business** 

# Non-Voting Members Present: (1)

Ben A. Stephenson, Dallas Water Utilities

### **Government Reporting Agencies:**

Lann Bookout, Texas Water Development Board

Manual Martinez, Texas Department of Agriculture Rusty Ray, Texas Soil and Water Conservation Board

### **Staff and Consultants:**

Stacy Corley, City of Nacogdoches Cynthia Syvarth, Plummer Associates Rex Hunt, Plummer Associates Cody McCann Plummer Associates Dexter May, Plummer Associates James Beach, WSP Jordan Skipwith, FNI Spandana Tummuri, FNI

### **Other Guests:**

Yassin Gallardo, LNVA Kate Dietz, City of Tyler

5. Consideration and approval of the minutes of the February 19, 2020 meeting.

John Martin moved the minutes of February 19, 2020 meeting be approved as presented. Mark Dunn seconded the motion and was unanimously passed.

- 6. Reports from other state agencies, as necessary.
  - a. Texas Water Development Board Lann Bookout

Lann Bookout reported on the RWP rulemaking update: revisions to Chapter 357 were adopted June 4<sup>th</sup> by the TWDB Ex Board and were effective June 28, 2020. An electronic rules pamphlet is due out this summer. Rules have been updated on the Secretary of State web site.

IPP comments have been issued. Lann emphasized the TWDB comments and regional response must be included in the final plan. He also touched on the Final plan process, noting the plans and prioritization list is due October 14; the Plan must be approved at a regular meeting; all comments received must be considered; and include finalized implementation and IFR survey.

Request for Applications (RFA) for **sixth** cycle of RWP are to be issued in March 2021, more information to be provided later this year. Planning groups will need to take action to select Political Subdivision and authorize them to submit an application prior to RFA deadline. After the application is submitted and a contract initiated, the political subdivision will need to go through their procurement process to obtain a consultant for the RWP cycle.

- b. Texas Department of Parks & Wildlife Stephen Lange (absent)
- c. Texas Department of Agriculture Manual Martinez

Manual Martinez reported on current grants TDA is funding: Main Street/Downtown; FAST-Fire Trucks and a Senior Citizen Nutrition program. He also advised the Texas Agriculture Commissioner Sid Miller is urging Texans to take extreme precaution when receiving unsolicited seed packets from China. These packets have been mailed to multiple states, including Texas, falsely labeled as jewelry. Residents are advised not to plant the seeds as they could contain harmful invasive species or be otherwise unsafe.

d. Texas Soil and Water Conservation Board – Rusty Ray

Rusty Ray stated the 80<sup>th</sup> Annual State Meeting had been cancelled due to Covid-19. He had no other information to report.

7. Discussion and potential action regarding a zero-dollar project budget amendment to shift funds from one task to another – Dexter May (Plummer)

David Alders moved to approve the City of Nacogdoches and Region I Chairman Kelley Holcomb the authority to shift funds up to \$30,000 between the tasks in the current RWPG Contract (1548301837). Matthew McBroom seconded the motion and was unanimously passed.

- 8. Report from consultant team Dexter May (Plummer)
  - a. Discussion of planning schedule.

Dexter May reviewed the planning schedule -- stating the RWPG IPP was submitted February 26, 2020; TWDB Comments were received by the planning group June 15, 2020; RWPG Final Plan and RWPG Prioritization is due October 14, 2020.

b. Discussion of comments received on the Initially Prepared Plan (IPP).

Entities that submitted comments: Texas Water Development Board, RWPG, other State Agencies and Public Comments.

Texas Water Development Board has two levels of comments: Level 1, which must be addressed to meet regulatory requirements, Region I received 33 Level 1 comments. Level 2, which are suggestions that may improve the readability of the plan, Region I received 22 comments.

Most Level 1 comments can be resolved with the addition of clarifying text or additional information in the Plan. The most significant comment was regarding projects with an online decade of 2020, these must be producing water by January 5, 2023. The consultant team's proposed approach is to push any project having a capital cost and an online decade of 2020 to 2030. Approximately 20 projects will be shifted; and will result in unmet needs being shown in the 2020 decade.

Planning Group Member Comments:

Many comments were received throughout the planning process – primarily grammatical comments and Technical Committee input during Prioritization.

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board:

One comment received was to include TSSWCD on the list of Non-Voting Planning Group Members.

Virtual Public Hearing May 14, 2020: 21 attendees including consultants and planning group members – two comments received. Neither comment requested a change to the plan or required a specific response.

c. Discussion of the Infrastructure Financing Report (IFR) Survey.

Seventy-six Water User Groups were contacted in late February and early March 2020: 62 via email and 14 via USPS. Three (3) responses were received from the Water User Groups. The survey is designed to help the TWDB anticipate future funding needs; and affect project prioritization.

d. Presentation and discussion of the draft prioritization of recommended water management strategies.

There are 57 recommended WMS in the 2021 Region I Water Plan. The prioritization score of a project is taken in to consideration when applying for SWIFT funding.

David Alders asked whether any of the Region I projects from the last round of planning had received SWIFT funding. Have previous Region I projects been funded in a timely manner? Plummer will investigate these questions and provide information at the next planning group meeting.

9. Public Comments. (limited to 3 minutes) – Chairman Kelley Holcomb

No public comments were made.

**10.** General Discussion – Chairman Kelley Holcomb

**11.** Set Next Meeting Date – September 16th, 2020 – Chairman Kelley Holcomb

**12.** Adjourn. Meeting adjourned at 11:29 A.M. by Chairman Holcomb.

APPROVED THIS <u>16<sup>th</sup></u> day of September, <u>2020</u>

Kelley Holcomb, Chair ETRWPG – Region I

ATTEST:

John Martin, Secretary

Minutes approved September <u>16<sup>th</sup></u>, 2020

Prioritization of Recommended Water Manage	ment Strategies - 9/16/2020				Criteria 1 - Decade of Need for Project				
i nonazation of neconinicitaca water Manage			MA	XIMUM SCORES>	10	10	20	400	
			vat						
			nser						
			ō	se?					
			ural	vation/Reuse?					
			cult	/uo	Uniform Standard 1A - What is the decade the RWP shows the project	Uniform Standard 1B - In what			
			Agri	vati	comes online? [2070 = 0 points;	decade is initial funding needed?		Weighted	
			al/#	ser	2060 = 2; 2050 = 4; 2040 = 6; 2030	[2070 = 0 points; 2060 = 2; 2050 =	Criteria 1	Criteria 1	
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	Rur	Ğ	= 8; 2020 = 10]	4; 2040 = 6; 2030 = 8; 2020 = 10]	Total Score	Total	
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	\$ 7,927,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
ANRA-COL - LAKE COLUMBIA CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 402,862,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 29,775,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 117,250,000	N	N	10	10	20	400	
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	\$ 18,110,000	N	Yes, reuse	8	10	18	360	
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	\$ 38,916,000	N	N	6	8	14	280	
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	\$ 2,426,000	N	N	4	4	8	160	
CHER - Rusk - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	RUSK	\$ 2,361,000	N	N	0	2	2	40	
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	\$ 2,361,000	N	N	4	4	8	160	
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 7,013,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 954,000	N	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
Cushing Conservation	CUSHING	\$ 1,030,000	Ν	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
HDSN - Chandler - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	CHANDLER	\$ 1,397,000	N	N	0	0	0	0	
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MINING, HENDERSON	\$ 201,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MOORE STATION WSC	\$ 1,417,000	N	N	2	2	4	80	
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	\$ 9,900,000	N	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	\$ 399,000	N	N	0	0	0	0	
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	\$ 29,390,000	N	N	6	8	14	280	
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	\$ -	N	N	10	10	20	400	
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	\$ 15,444,000	N	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	\$ 21,665,000	N	N	2	2	4	80	
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	\$ 279,210,000	N		8	10	18	360	
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	\$ 32,302,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	\$ 23,716,000	N	N	6	8	14	280	
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 37,538,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 529,606,000	N	N	6	8	14	280	
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	\$ 78,220,000	N	N	8	8	16	320	
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	\$ 78,199,000	N	N	6	6	12	240	
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	\$ 8,834,000	N	N	4	4	8	160	
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 27,720,000	N	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 50,754,000	N	N	8	10	18	360	
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	\$ 4,567,000	N	N	6	6	12	240	
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 26,677,000	N	N	8	8	16	320	
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 18,647,000			8	8	16	320	
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	\$ 14,624,000			8	10	18	360	
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	\$ 1,172,000			8	8	16	320	
Port Arthur	PORT ARTHUR	\$ 51,618,000		Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
RUSK - Jacobs WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	JACOBS WSC	\$ 1,795,000			0	0	0	0	
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	\$ 1,733,000			6	6	12	240	
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Weils Infrastructure	OVERTON	\$ 283,000			8	8	12	320	
RUSK-MIN	MINING, RUSK	\$ 14,808,000			8	8	16	320	
RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	\$ 30,008,000			8	8	16	320	
San Augustine Conservation	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,297,000			10	10	20	400	
San Augustine Conservation	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,297,000			8	8	16	320	
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	\$ 41,502,000	N		10	10	20	400	
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 1,055,000			8	8	16	320	
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Weils Infrastructure SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 1,055,000			8	8	16	320	
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTORE SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	\$ 42,807,000	N	N	10	10	20	400	
SMEL-LIK INITASUTUCUTE SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	BULLARD	\$ 14,264,000		N	8	10	18	360	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_		6	10			
SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	1 1.1					16	320	
SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure		\$ 7,592,000			8	8	16	320	
SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	\$ 6,198,000	_		8	8	16	320	
Southern Utilities Conservation	SOUTHERN UTILITIES	\$ 33,264,000	_	Yes, conservation	10	10	20	400	
Tyler Conservation	TYLER	\$ 58,766,000	_		10	10	20	400	
TYL-PAL - PALESTINE INFRASTRUCTURE	TYLER	\$ 111,190,000		N	8	8	16	320	
UNM-ROR-NECHES RUN OF RIVER INFRASTRUCTURE	UNRMWA	\$ 518,977,000	_		8	10	18	360	
Whitehouse-Transfer from Tyler	WHITEHOUSE	\$ 7,666,000	N	N	2	2	4	80	

Prioritization - 9/16/2020

Prioritization of Recommended Water Manageme	ant Strategies - 9/16/2020		Criteria 2 - Project Feasibility							
i normation of neconimended water manageme			5 5 10 5 25 100							
			Uniform Standard 2A - What supporting data is available to show that the quantity of water needed is available? [Models suggest insufficient quantities of water or no modeling performed = 0 points; models suggest sufficient quantity of water = 3; Field tests, measurements, or project specific studies confirm sufficient quantities of water	Uniform Standard 2B - If necessary, does the sponsor hold necessary legal rights, water rights and/or contracts to use the water that this project would require? [Legal rights, water rights and/or contract application not submitted = 0 points; application submitted = 2; application is administratively complete = 3; legal rights, water rights and/or contracts	Uniform Standard 2C - What level of engineering and/or planning has been accomplished for this project? [Project idea is outlinted in RWP = 1 point; feasibility studies initiated = 2; feasibility studies completed = 3; conceptual design initiated = 4; conceptual design completed = 5; preliminary engineering report initiated = 6; preliminary engineering report completed = 7; preliminary design initiated = 8; preliminary design completed = 9; final	Uniform Standard 2D - Has the project sponsor requested in writing that the project be included in the Regional Water	Weighted Criteria 2 Criteria 2			
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	= 5]	obtained or not needed = 5]	design complete = 10]	Plan? [No = 0 points; yes = 5]	Total Score Total			
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	\$ 7,927,000	3	5	4	5	17 68			
ANRA-COL - LAKE COLUMBIA CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 402,862,000	3	5	3	5	16 64			
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 29,775,000		5	1	5	14 56			
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 117,250,000		5	1	5	14 56			
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	\$ 18,110,000		5	3	5	18 72			
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	\$ 38,916,000		0	3	5	11 44			
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	\$ 2,426,000		5	1	0	9 36			
CHER - Rusk - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	RUSK	\$ 2,361,000		0	1	0	4 16			
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	\$ 2,361,000		0	1	0	4 16			
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 7,013,000		5	1	5	14 56			
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 954,000		5	1	0	9 36			
Cushing Conservation	CUSHING CHANDLER	\$ 1,030,000		5	1	0	9 36 4 16			
HDSN - Chandler - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure		\$ 1,397,000			1					
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MINING, HENDERSON MOORE STATION WSC	\$ 201,000 \$ 1,417,000		0	1	0	4 16 4 16			
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	\$ 9,900,000		5	1	0	9 36			
HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	\$ 399,000		0	1	0	<u> </u>			
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	\$ 29,390,000		5	1	5	14 56			
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	\$ 25,550,000	3	0	1	0	4 16			
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	\$ 15,444,000	-	5	1	0	9 36			
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	\$ 21,665,000		0	1	5	9 36			
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	\$ 279,210,000		0	1	5	9 36			
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	\$ 32,302,000		0	- 1	5	9 36			
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	\$ 23,716,000		5		5	11 44			
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 37,538,000		5	5	5	18 72			
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 529,606,000		0	7	5	15 60			
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	\$ 78,220,000		5	3	5	16 64			
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	\$ 78,199,000	3	5	3	5	16 64			
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	\$ 8,834,000	3	5	3	5	16 64			
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 27,720,000	3	5	1	0	9 36			
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 50,754,000	3	5	1	5	14 56			
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	\$ 4,567,000	3	5	1	5	14 56			
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 26,677,000	3	5	1	0	9 36			
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 18,647,000	3	5	1	5	14 56			
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	\$ 14,624,000	3	0	1	5	9 36			
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	\$ 1,172,000	3	0	1	0	4 16			
Port Arthur	PORT ARTHUR	\$ 51,618,000		5	1	5	14 56			
RUSK - Jacobs WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	JACOBS WSC	\$ 1,795,000		0	1	0	4 16			
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	\$ 283,000		0	1	0	4 16			
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	OVERTON	\$ 8,914,000		5	1	0	9 36			
RUSK-MIN	MINING, RUSK	\$ 14,808,000		5	1	5	14 56			
RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	\$ 30,008,000		0	1	5	9 36			
San Augustine Conservation	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,297,000		5	1	0	9 36			
San Augustine Livestock	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 41,302,000		0	1	5	9 36			
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	Ş -	3	0	1	0	4 16			
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	SAN AUGUSTINE MINING, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 1,055,000		0	1	0	4 16			
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE		\$ 42,807,000	3	5	1	5	14 56			
		ć	-	U	1	5	9 36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	\$ -	3		1	0	1 16			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD	\$ - \$ 14,264,000		0	1	0	4 16			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 2,531,000	3	0	1 1 1	0 5 5	9 36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS LINDALE	\$ 2,531,000 \$ 7,592,000	3 3	0 0 0	1 1		9 36 9 36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS LINDALE MANUFACTURING, SMITH	\$ 2,531,000 \$ 7,592,000 \$ 6,198,000	3 3 3	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	5 5 5 5	9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure Southern Utilities Conservation	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS LINDALE MANUFACTURING, SMITH SOUTHERN UTILITIES	\$ 2,531,000 \$ 7,592,000 \$ 6,198,000 \$ 33,264,000	3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 5	1 1 1 1 1	5 5 5 0	9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure Southern Utilities Conservation Tyler Conservation	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS LINDALE MANUFACTURING, SMITH SOUTHERN UTILITIES TYLER	\$ 2,531,000 \$ 7,592,000 \$ 6,198,000 \$ 33,264,000 \$ 58,766,000	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 5 5 5	1 1 1 1	5 5 5 0 0	9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36			
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure Southern Utilities Conservation	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY BULLARD CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS LINDALE MANUFACTURING, SMITH SOUTHERN UTILITIES	\$ 2,531,000 \$ 7,592,000 \$ 6,198,000 \$ 33,264,000	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0 5	1 1 1 1 1	5 5 5 0	9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36           9         36			

Prioritization of Recommended Water Management	Stratogies - 9/16/2020		Criteria 3 - Project Viability							
Prioritization of Recommended water Managements	Strategies - 9/10/2020		100 10 100 10 5 5 30						30	250
								- -		
			Uniform Standard 3A - In the		Uniform Standard 3B - In the final		Uniform Standard 3C - Is			
			decade the project supply comes		decade of the planning period,		this project the only			
			online, what is the % of the WUG's	Converted	what is the % of the WUG's (or	Converted	economically feasible			
			(or WUGs') needs satisfied by this	Needs-based		Needs-based	source of new supply for	Uniform Standard 3D -		Mainhead
			project? [Calculation is based on the needs of all WUGs receiving	score for Uniform	project? [Calculation is based on the needs of all WUGs receiving	score for Uniform	the WUG, other than conservation? [No = 0	Does this project serve multiple WUGs? [No =	Criteria 3	Weighted Criteria 3
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	water from the project.]	Standard 3A	water from the project.]	Standard 3B	points; Yes = 5]	0  points; Yes = 5]	Total Score	
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	\$ 7,927,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
ANGLIVINI NASTROCTOR	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 402,862,000	100	10.00	75	7.50	5	5	27.50	208.33
		\$ 29,775,000	9	0.90	6	0.60		0		12.50
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY		0		0	0.00	0	5	1.50 5.00	41.67
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 117,250,000		0.00				<u> </u>		
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	\$ 18,110,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	\$ 38,916,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	\$ 2,426,000	100	10.00	89	8.90	5	5	28.90	240.83
CHER - Rusk - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	RUSK	\$ 2,361,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	\$ 2,361,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 7,013,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	0	0	20.00	166.67
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 954,000	0	0.00	27	2.70	0	0	2.70	22.50
Cushing Conservation	CUSHING	\$ 1,030,000	0	0.00	100	10.00	0	0	10.00	83.33
HDSN - Chandler - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	CHANDLER	\$ 1,397,000	86	8.60	86	8.60	5	0	22.20	185.00
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MINING, HENDERSON	\$ 201,000	100	10.00	0	0.00	5	0	15.00	125.00
HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MOORE STATION WSC	\$ 1,417,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	\$ 9,900,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	\$ 399,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	\$ 29,390,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	5	10.00	83.33
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	\$ -	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	\$ 15,444,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	\$ 21,665,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	\$ 279,210,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	\$ 32,302,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	\$ 23,716,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 37,538,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir			0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5		41.67
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 529,606,000	, and the second		- · · ·	-	, v	5	5.00	
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	\$ 78,220,000	63	6.30	0	0.00	5	5	16.30	135.83
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	\$ 78,199,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	5	10.00	83.33
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	\$ 8,834,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	5	10.00	83.33
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 27,720,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 50,754,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	5	10.00	83.33
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	\$ 4,567,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 26,677,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 18,647,000	100	10.00	0	0.00	5	0	15.00	125.00
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	\$ 14,624,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	\$ 1,172,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
Port Arthur	PORT ARTHUR	\$ 51,618,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.67
RUSK - Jacobs WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	JACOBS WSC	\$ 1,795,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	\$ 283,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	OVERTON	\$ 8,914,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
RUSK-MIN	MINING, RUSK	\$ 14,808,000	100	10.00	0	0.00	5	0	15.00	125.00
RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	\$ 30,008,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
San Augustine Conservation	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,297,000	8	0.80	26	2.60	0	5	8.40	70.00
San Augustine Livestock	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 41,302,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
	SAND HILLS WSC	\$ 41,302,000	94	9.40		9.00	5	0	23.40	195.00
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	\$ - \$ 1,055,000	100	9.40	90	10.00	5	5	30.00	250.00
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure					100			-		
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE		\$ 42,807,000	100	10.00	0	0.00	5	0	15.00	125.00
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	> -	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	BULLARD	\$ 14,264,000	100	10.00	97	9.70	5	0	24.70	205.83
SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 2,531,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure	LINDALE	\$ 7,592,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	\$ 6,198,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	5	0	25.00	208.33
Southern Utilities Conservation	SOUTHERN UTILITIES	\$ 33,264,000	100	10.00	100	10.00	0	5	25.00	208.33
										41.67
Tyler Conservation	TYLER	\$ 58,766,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	5	5.00	41.07
		\$ 58,766,000 \$ 111,190,000	0 0	0.00	0 0	0.00	0 5	5 5	5.00 10.00	83.33
Tyler Conservation	TYLER									

Prioritization of Recommended Water Management Strategies - 9/16/2020		Criteria 4 - Project Sustainability Criteria 5 - Project Cost Effectiven					ess	FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT	
			10	5	15	150	5	100	1000
			Uniform Standard 4A - Over what period of time is this project expected to provide water (regardless of the planning period)? [Less than or equal to 20 yrs = 5 points; greater than 20		Criteria 4	Weighted Criteria 4	Uniform Standard 5A - What is the expected unit cost of water supplied by this project compared to the median unit cost of all other recommended strategies in the region's current RWP? (Project's Unit Cost divided by the median project's unit cost) [200% or greater than median = 0 points; 150% to 199% = 1; 101% to 149% = 2; 100% = 3; 51% to 99% = 4; 0% to 50%	Weighted Criteria 5	
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Capital Cost	yrs = 10]	change = 3; increases = 5]	Total Score	Total	=5]	Total	
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	\$ 7,927,000	10	5	15	150	1	20	806.33
ANRA-COL - LAKE COLUMBIA CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 402,862,000	10	0	10	100	5	100	853.17
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 29,775,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	658.50
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 117,250,000	5	3	8	80	0	0	577.67
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	\$ 18,110,000	10	5	15	150	1	20	643.67
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	\$ 38,916,000	10	3	13	130	1	20	515.67
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	\$ 2,426,000	10	3	13	130	4	80	646.83
CHER - Rusk - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	RUSK	\$ 2,361,000	10	3	13	130	2	40	434.33
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	\$ 2,361,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	574.33
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	\$ 7,013,000	10	3	13	130	0	0	712.67
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 954,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	708.50
Cushing Conservation HDSN - Chandler - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	CUSHING CHANDLER	\$ 1,030,000 \$ 1,397,000	10 10	5	15 13	150 130	4	80 40	749.33 371.00
	MINING, HENDERSON	\$ 1,397,000	5	3	8	80	<u> </u>	40 80	661.00
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MOORE STATION WSC	\$ 1,417,000	10	5	° 15	150	4	80	534.33
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	\$ 9,900,000	10	5	15	150	4	40	667.67
HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	\$ 399,000	10	2	13	130	5	100	454.33
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	\$ 29,390,000	10	3	13	130	1	20	569.33
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	\$	10	3	13	130	÷ 5	100	854.33
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	\$ 15,444,000	10	5	15	150	0	0	586.00
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	\$ 21,665,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	514.33
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	\$ 279,210,000	10	5	15	150		100	854.33
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	\$ 32,302,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	794.33
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	\$ 23,716,000	10	3	13	130	5	100	595.67
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 37,538,000	10	3	13	130	5	100	703.67
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	\$ 529,606,000	10	3	13	130	5	100	611.67
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	\$ 78,220,000	10	3	13	130	2	40	689.83
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	\$ 78,199,000	10	3	13	130	2	40	557.33
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	\$ 8,834,000	10	3	13	130	4	80	517.33
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 27,720,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	667.67
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	\$ 50,754,000	10	3	13	130	4	80	709.33
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	\$ 4,567,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	734.33
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 26,677,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	814.33
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	\$ 18,647,000	5	3	8	80	2	40	621.00
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	\$ 14,624,000	10	5	15	150	0	0	754.33
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	\$ 1,172,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	794.33
Port Arthur	PORT ARTHUR	\$ 51,618,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	747.67
RUSK - Jacobs WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	JACOBS WSC	\$ 1,795,000	10	3	13	130	0	0	354.33
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	\$ 283,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	714.33
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure		\$ 8,914,000	10	5	15	150 100	1	20	734.33
RUSK-MIN RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE		\$ 14,808,000	10	0	10	100	0	0	601.00
	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 30,008,000 \$ 2,297,000	10 10	5	15 15	150	0	0	714.33 656.00
San Augustine Conservation San Augustine Livestock	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 2,297,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	814.33
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	\$ 41,502,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	841.00
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 1,055,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	816.00
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, SAN AUGUSTINE	\$ 42,807,000	5	3	8	80	0	0	581.00
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	\$ -	10	5	15	150	1	20	814.33
SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	BULLARD	\$ 14,264,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	771.83
SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	\$ 2,531,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	814.33
SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure	LINDALE	\$ 7,592,000	10	5	15	150	5	100	814.33
SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	\$ 6,198,000	10	3	13	130	0	0	694.33
Southern Utilities Conservation	SOUTHERN UTILITIES	\$ 33,264,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	874.33
Tyler Conservation	TYLER	\$ 58,766,000	10	5	15	150	2	40	667.67
TYL-PAL - PALESTINE INFRASTRUCTURE	TYLER	\$ 111,190,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	689.33
		1		-					000.00
UNM-ROR-NECHES RUN OF RIVER INFRASTRUCTURE	UNRMWA	\$ 518,977,000	10	5	15	150	4	80	888.00

# Prioritization of Recommended Water Management Strategies - 9/16/2020

(Sorted	by P	rioritization	Score)
---------	------	---------------	--------

(Sorted by Prioritiza	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Score	Rank
UNM-ROR-NECHES RUN OF RIVER INFRASTRUCTURE	UNRMWA	888.00	1
Southern Utilities Conservation	SOUTHERN UTILITIES	874.33	2
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	854.33	3
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	854.33	4
ANRA-COL - LAKE COLUMBIA CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	853.17	5
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	841.00	6
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	SAN AUGUSTINE	816.00	7
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	814.33	8
San Augustine Livestock	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	814.33	9
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	814.33	10
SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	814.33	11
SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure	LINDALE	814.33	12
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	806.33	13
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	794.33	14
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	794.33	15
SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	BULLARD	771.83	16
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	754.33	17
Cushing Conservation	CUSHING	749.33	18
Port Arthur	PORTARTHUR	747.67	19
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	734.33	20
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	OVERTON	734.33	21
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	714.33	22
RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, RUSK	714.33	23
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	712.67	24
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	709.33	25
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	708.50	26
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	703.67	27
SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	694.33	28
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	689.83	29
TYL-PAL - PALESTINE INFRASTRUCTURE	TYLER	689.33	30
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	667.67	31
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	667.67	32
Tyler Conservation	TYLER	667.67	33
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MINING, HENDERSON	661.00	34
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	658.50	35
San Augustine Conservation	SAN AUGUSTINE	656.00	36
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	646.83	37
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	643.67	38
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	621.00	39
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	611.67	40
RUSK-MIN	MINING, RUSK	601.00	41
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	595.67	42
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	586.00	43
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, SAN AUGUSTINE	581.00	44
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	577.67	45
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	574.33	46
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	569.33	47
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	557.33	48
HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MOORE STATION WSC	534.33	49
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	517.33	50
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	515.67	51
	CLINILIN		
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	514.33	52
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	514.33 474.33	52 53
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE Whitehouse-Transfer from Tyler	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON WHITEHOUSE	474.33	53
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE Whitehouse-Transfer from Tyler HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON WHITEHOUSE LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	474.33 454.33	53 54
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE Whitehouse-Transfer from Tyler	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON WHITEHOUSE	474.33	53

#### Prioritization of Recommended Water Management Strategies - 9/16/2020 (Sorted by Project Sponsor Entity)

(Sorted by Project Sp	••		
Project Name	Project Sponsor Entity	Score	Rank
CHER - Alto Rural WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	ALTO RURAL WSC	646.83	37
ANRA-COL - LAKE COLUMBIA CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	853.17	5
CHER-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	712.67	24
ANRA-GW-NEW GROUNDWATER WELLS INFRASTRUCTURE	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	658.50	35
ANRA-WTP-WTP CONSTRUCTION	ANGELINA & NECHES RIVER AUTHORITY	577.67	45
LAKE-STRIKER-DREDGING	ANGELINA NACOGDOCHES WCID #1	595.67	42
SMTH-BLD-Infrastructure	BULLARD	771.83	16
CENT-REU-PIPELINE FROM WWTP TO LAKE CENTER	CENTER	643.67	38
CENT-TOL-TOLEDO BEND TO CENTER	CENTER	515.67	51
HDSN - Chandler - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	CHANDLER	371.00	56
JEFF-CTR INFRASTRUCTURE	COUNTY-OTHER, JEFFERSON	514.33	52
SMTH-CYS - Infrastructure	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	814.33	11
Crystal Systems Conservation	CRYSTAL SYSTEMS TEXAS	708.50	26
Cushing Conservation	CUSHING	749.33	18
NACW - D&M WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	D & M WSC	734.33	20
Henderson Conservation	HENDERSON	667.67	31
Orange Irrigation	IRRIGATION, ORANGE	754.33	17
JACK-COL	JACKSONVILLE	569.33	47
RUSK - Jacobs WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	JACOBS WSC	354.33	57
Jasper Conservation	JASPER	586.00	43
SMTH-LDL-Infrastructure	LINDALE	814.33	12
HOU - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, HOUSTON	454.33	54
JASP - Livestock - Transfer from LNVA	LIVESTOCK, JASPER	854.33	3
NACW - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, NACOGDOCHES	814.33	8
PANL - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, PANOLA	794.33	15
RUSK - Livestock - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, RUSK	714.33	22
San Augustine Livestock	LIVESTOCK, SAN AUGUSTINE	814.33	9
SHEL-LTK Infrastructure	LIVESTOCK, SHELBY	814.33	10
LNVA-JEFF - Beaumont West Regional Reservoir	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	703.67	27
LNVA-SRA Infrastructure	LOWER NECHES VALLEY AUTHORITY	611.67	40
LUFK-RAY PHASE 1	LUFKIN	689.83	29
LUFK-RAY PHASE 2	LUFKIN	557.33	48
LUFK-RAY PHASE 3	LUFKIN	517.33	50
JEFF-MFG INFRASTRUCTURE	MANUFACTURING, JEFFERSON	854.33	4
SMTH-MFG-Infrastructure	MANUFACTURING, SMITH	694.33	28
ANGL-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, ANGELINA	806.33	13
HDSN - Mining - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MINING, HENDERSON	661.00	34
NACW-MIN-Infrastructure	MINING, NACOGDOCHES	621.00	39
RUSK-MIN	MINING, RUSK	601.00	41
SAUG-MIN-INFRASTRUCTURE	MINING, SAN AUGUSTINE	581.00	44
HDSN - Moore Station - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	MOORE STATION WSC	534.33	49
NACP-COL	NACOGDOCHES	709.33	25
Nacogdoches Conservation	NACOGDOCHES	667.67	32
RUSK/SMTH - Overton - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	OVERTON	734.33	21
Port Arthur	PORT ARTHUR	747.67	19
CHER - Rusk - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	RUSK	434.33	55
SAUG - San Augustine - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	SAN AUGUSTINE	816.00	7
San Augustine Conservation	SAN AUGUSTINE	656.00	36
Sand Hills WSC - SRA Transfer	SAND HILLS WSC	841.00	6
Southern Utilities Conservation	SOUTHERN UTILITIES	874.33	2
JEFF-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, JEFFERSON	794.33	14
RUSK-SEP INFRASTRUCTURE	STEAM ELECTRIC POWER, SETTERSON	714.33	23
TYL-PAL - PALESTINE INFRASTRUCTURE	TYLER	689.33	30
Tyler Conservation	TYLER	667.67	33
UNM-ROR-NECHES RUN OF RIVER INFRASTRUCTURE	UNRMWA	888.00	1
Whitehouse-Transfer from Tyler	WHITEHOUSE		53
CHER/RUSK - Wright City WSC - New Groundwater Wells Infrastructure	WRIGHT CITY WSC	474.33	
CHERY NOOK - WHEN CITY WOC - New GLOUNDWALER WENS INITASTRUCTURE		574.33	46